## CS 251 in-class exercise: Implementing Pattern-Matching<sup>1</sup>

We will not finish this in a day. It will be tied to a future assignment.

## List Helpers

The first two functions you will write will be useful in later problems.

- Write a function first\_answer of type ('a -> 'b option) -> 'a list -> 'b (notice the 2 arguments are curried). The first argument should be applied to elements of the second argument in order until the first time it returns SOME v for some v and then v is the result of the call to first\_answer. If the first argument returns NONE for all list elements, then first\_answer should raise the exception NoAnswer. Hints: Sample solution is 5 lines and does nothing fancy.
- 2. Write a function all\_answers of type ('a -> 'b list option) -> 'a list -> 'b list option (notice the 2 arguments are curried). The first argument should be applied to elements of the second argument. If it returns NONE for any element, then the result for all\_answers is NONE. Else the calls to the first argument will have produced SOME lst1, SOME lst2, ... SOME lstn and the result of all\_answers is SOME lst where lst is lst1, lst2, ..., lstn appended together (order doesn't matter). Hints: The sample solution is 8 lines. It uses a helper function with an accumulator and uses @ (the infix list-append function). Note all\_answers f [] should evaluate to SOME [].

## **Pattern-Matching**

The following type definitions are inspired by the type definitions an ML implementation would use to *implement* pattern matching:

Given valu v and pattern p, either p matches v or not. If it does, the match produces a list of string \* valu pairs; order in the list does not matter. The rules for matching should be unsurprising:

- Wildcard matches everything and produces the empty list of bindings.
- Variable s matches any value v and produces the one-element list holding (s,v).
- UnitP matches only Unit and produces the empty list of bindings.
- ConstP 17 matches only Const 17 and produces the empty list of bindings (and similarly for other integers).
- TupleP ps matches a value of the form Tuple vs if ps and vs have the same length and for all *i*, the *i*<sup>th</sup> element of ps matches the *i*<sup>th</sup> element of vs. The list of bindings produced is all the lists from the nested pattern matches appended together.
- ConstructorP(s1,p) matches Constructor(s2,v) if s1 and s2 are the same string (you can compare them with =) and p matches v. The list of bindings produced is the list from the nested pattern match. We call the strings s1 and s2 the constructor name.
- Nothing else matches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Credit to Dan Grossman for this exercise.

- 3. (This problem uses the **pattern** datatype but is not really about pattern-matching.) A function **g** has been provided to you.
  - (a) In an ML comment, describe in a few English sentences the arguments that g takes and what g computes (not how g computes it, though you will have to understand that to determine what g computes). No code required.
  - (b) Use g to define a function count\_wildcards that takes a pattern and returns how many Wildcard patterns it contains.
  - (c) Use g to define a function count\_wild\_and\_variable\_lengths that takes a pattern and returns the number of Wildcard patterns it contains plus the sum of the string lengths of all the variables in the variable patterns it contains. (Use String.size. We care only about variable names; the constructor names are not relevant.)
  - (d) Use g to define a function count\_some\_var that takes a string and a pattern (as a pair) and returns the number of times the string appears as a variable in the pattern. We care only about variable names; the constructor names are not relevant.
- 4. Write a function check\_pat that takes a pattern and returns true if and only if all the variables appearing in the pattern are distinct from each other (i.e., use different strings). The constructor names are not relevant. Hints: The sample solution uses two helper functions. The first takes a pattern and returns a list of all the strings it uses for variables. Using foldl with a function that uses append is useful in one case. The second takes a list of strings and decides if it has repeats. List.exists may be useful. Sample solution is 15 lines. These are hints: We are not requiring foldl and List.exists here, but they make it easier.
- 5. Write a function match that takes a valu \* pattern and returns a (string \* valu) list option, namely NONE if the pattern does not match and SOME lst where lst is the list of bindings if it does. Note that if the value matches but the pattern has no patterns of the form Variable s, then the result is SOME []. Hints: Sample solution has one case expression with 7 branches. The branch for tuples uses all\_answers and ListPair.zip. Sample solution is 13 lines. Remember to look above for the rules for what patterns match what values, and what bindings they produce. These are hints: We are not requiring all\_answers and ListPair.zip here, but they make it easier.
- 6. Write a function first\_match that takes a value and a list of patterns and returns a (string \* valu) list option, namely NONE if no pattern in the list matches or SOME lst where lst is the list of bindings for the first pattern in the list that matches. Use first\_answer and a handle-expression. Hints: Sample solution is 3 lines.