

Functions in Racket



CS251 Programming Languages **Spring 2016, Lyn Turbak**

Department of Computer Science
Wellesley College

Racket Functions

Functions: most important building block in Racket (and 251)

- Functions/procedures/methods/subroutines abstract over computations
- Like Java methods, Python functions have arguments and result
- But no classes, **this**, **return**, etc.

Examples:

```
(define dbl (lambda (x) (* x 2)))
```

```
(define quad (lambda (x) (dbl (dbl x))))
```

```
(define avg (lambda (a b) (/ (+ a b) 2)))
```

```
(define sqr (lambda (n) (* n n)))
```

```
(define n 10)
```

```
(define small? (lambda (num) (<= num n)))
```

lambda denotes a anonymous function

Syntax: (lambda (id1 ... idn) e)

- **lambda**: keyword that introduces an anonymous function (the function itself has no name, but you're welcome to name it using `define`)
- **id1 ... idn**: any identifiers, known as the **parameters** of the function.
- **e**: any expression, known as the **body** of the function.
It typically (but not always) uses the function parameters.

Evaluation rule:

- A `lambda` expression is just a value (like a number or boolean), so a `lambda` expression evaluates to itself!
- What about the function body expression? That's not evaluated until later, when the function is **called**.

Function calls (applications)

To use a function, you **call** it on arguments (**apply** it to arguments).

E.g. in Racket: `(dbl 3)`, `(avg 8 12)`, `(small? 17)`

Syntax: `(e0 e1 ... en)`

- A function call expression has no keyword. A function call because it's the only parenthesized expression that **doesn't** begin with a keyword.
- `e0`: any expression, known as the **rator** of the function call (i.e., the function position).
- `e1 ... en`: any expressions, known as the **rands** of the function call (i.e., the argument positions).

Evaluation rule:

1. Evaluate `e0 ... en` in the current environment to values `v0 ... vn`.
2. If `v0` is not a `lambda` expression, raise an error.
3. If `v0` is a `lambda` expression, returned the result of applying it to the argument values `v1 ... vn` (see following slides).

Function application

What does it mean to apply a function value (lambda expression) to argument values? E.g.

```
((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 3)
```

```
((lambda (a b) (/ (+ a b) 2)) 8 12)
```

We will explain function application using two models:

1. The **substitution model**: substitute the argument values for the parameter names in the function body.
2. The **environment model**: extend the environment of the function with bindings of the parameter names to the argument values.

Function application: substitution model

Example 1:

```
((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 3)
```

Substitute 3 for x in $(* x 2)$ and evaluate the result:

```
(* 3 2) ↓ 6 (environment doesn't matter in this case)
```

Example 2:

```
((lambda (a b) (/ (+ a b) 2)) 8 12)
```

Substitute 3 for x in $(* x 2)$ and evaluate the result:

```
(/ (+ 8 12) 2) ↓ 10 (environment doesn't matter in this case)
```

Substitution notation

We will use the notation

$$e[v_1, \dots, v_n/id_1, \dots, id_n]$$

to indicate the expression that results from substituting the values v_1, \dots, v_n for the identifiers id_1, \dots, id_n in the expression e .

For example:

- $(* \ x \ 2) [3/x]$ stands for $(* \ 3 \ 2)$
- $(/ \ (+ \ a \ b) \ 2) [8,12/a,b]$ stands for $(/ \ (+ \ 8 \ 12) \ 2)$
- $(if \ (< \ x \ z) \ (+ \ (* \ x \ x) \ (* \ y \ y)) \ (/ \ x \ y)) [3,4/x,y]$
stands for $(if \ (< \ 3 \ z) \ (+ \ (* \ 3 \ 3) \ (* \ 4 \ 4)) \ (/ \ 3 \ 4))$

It turns out that there are some very tricky aspects to doing substitution correctly. We'll talk about these when we encounter them.

Function call rule: substitution model

$e_0 \# \text{env} \downarrow (\text{lambda } (id_1 \dots id_n) e_body)$

$e_1 \# \text{env} \downarrow v_1$

\vdots

$e_n \# \text{env} \downarrow v_n$

$e_body[v_1 \dots v_n/id_1 \dots id_n] \# \text{env} \downarrow v_body$ (function call)

$(e_0 e_1 \dots e_n) \# \text{env} \downarrow v_body$

Note: no need for function application frames like those you've seen in Python, Java, C, ...

Substitution model derivation

Suppose $env2 = db1 \rightarrow (\text{lambda } (x) (* x 2)),$
 $quad \rightarrow (\text{lambda } (x) (db1 (db1 x)))$

$quad \# env2 \downarrow (\text{lambda } (x) (db1 (db1 x)))$

$3 \# env2 \downarrow 3$

$db1 \# env2 \downarrow (\text{lambda } (x) (* x 2))$

$db1 \# env2 \downarrow (\text{lambda } (x) (* x 2))$

$3 \# env2 \downarrow 3$

$(* 3 2) \# env2 \downarrow 6$ (multiplication rule, subparts omitted)

_____ (function call)

$(db1 3) \# env2 \downarrow 6$

$(* 6 2) \# env2 \downarrow 12$ (multiplication rule, subparts omitted)

_____ (function call)

$(db1 (db1 3)) \# env2 \downarrow 12$ (function call)

$(quad 3) \# env2 \downarrow 12$

Substitution model derivation: your turn

Suppose $env3 = n \rightarrow 10$,

$small? \rightarrow (\text{lambda } (num) (<= \text{ num } n))$

$sqr \rightarrow (\text{lambda } (n) (* n n))$

Give an evaluation derivation for $(small? (sqr n)) \# env3$

Stepping back: name issues

Do the particular choices of function parameter names matter?

Is there any confusion caused by the fact that `dbl` and `quad` both use `x` as a parameter?

Are there any parameter names that we can't change `x` to in `quad`?

In `(small? (sqr n))`, is there any confusion between the global parameter name `n` and parameter `n` in `sqr`?

Is there any parameter name we can't use instead of `num` in `small`?

Small-step vs. big-step semantics

The evaluation derivations we've seen so far are called a **big-step semantics** because the derivation $e \# env \downarrow v$ explains the evaluation of e to v as one “big step” justified by the evaluation of its subexpressions.

An alternative way to express evaluation is a **small-step semantics** in which an expression is simplified to a value in a sequence of steps that simplifies subexpressions. You do this all the time when simplifying math expressions, and we can do it in Racket, too. E.g;

```
(- (* (+ 2 3) 9) (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- (* 5 9) (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- 45 (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- 45 3)  
⇒ 42
```

Small-step semantics: intuition

Scan left to right to find the first **redex** (nonvalue subexpression that can be reduced to a value) and reduce it:

$(- (* (+ 2 3) 9) (/ 18 6))$
 $\Rightarrow (- (* 5 9) (/ 18 6))$
 $\Rightarrow (- 45 (/ 18 6))$
 $\Rightarrow (- 45 3)$
 $\Rightarrow 42$

Small-step semantics: reduction rules

There are a small number of reduction rules for Racket. These specify the redexes of the language and how to reduce them.

The rules often require certain subparts of a redex to be **values** in order to be applicable.

$id \Rightarrow v$, where $id \rightarrow v$ in the current environment* (varref)

$(+ \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow v$, where v is the sum of $v1$ and $v2$ (addition)

There are similar rules for other arithmetic operators

$(if \ #t \ e_then \ e_else) \Rightarrow e_then$ (if true)

$(if \ #f \ e_then \ e_else) \Rightarrow e_false$ (if false)

$((lambda \ (id1 \ \dots \ idn) \ e_body) \ v1 \ \dots \ vn) \Rightarrow e_body[v1 \ \dots \ vn/id1 \ \dots \ idn]$ (function call)

* In a more formal approach, the notation would make the environment explicit.
E.g., $e \# env \Rightarrow v$

Small-step semantics: conditional example

`(+ (if (< 1 2) (* 3 4) (/ 5 6)) 7)`

\Rightarrow `(+ (if #t (* 3 4) (/ 5 6)) 7)`

\Rightarrow `(+ (* 3 4) 7)`

\Rightarrow `(+ 12 7)`

\Rightarrow 19

Small-step semantics: errors as stuck expressions

Similar to big-step semantics, we model errors (dynamic type errors, divide by zero, etc.) in small-step semantics as expressions in which the evaluation process is **stuck** because no reduction rule is matched. For example

```
(- (* (+ 2 3) #t) (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- (* 5 #t) (/ 18 6))
```

```
(if (= 2 (/ (+ 3 4) (- 5 5))) 8 9)  
⇒ (if (= 2 (/ 7 (- 5 5))) 8 9)  
⇒ (if (= 2 (/ 7 0)) 8 9)
```


Small-step semantics: function example

(quad 3)

⇒ ((lambda (x) (dbl (dbl x))) 3)

⇒ (dbl (dbl 3))

⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (dbl 3))

⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2))
((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 3))

⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (* 3 2))

⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 6)

⇒ (* 6 2)

⇒ 12

Evaluation Contexts

Although we will not do so here, it is possible to formalize exactly how to find the next redex in an expression using so-called **evaluation contexts**.

For example, in Racket, we never try to reduce an expression within the body of a `lambda`.

`((lambda (x) (+ (* 4 5) x)) (+ 1 2))`

↑
not this

↑
this is the
first redex

We'll see later in the course that other choices are possible (and sensible).

Small-step semantics: your turn

Use small-step semantics to evaluate `(small? (sqr n))`

Assume this is evaluated with respect to the same global environment used earlier.

Recursion

Recursion works as expected in Racket using the substitution model (both in big-step and small-step semantics).

There is no need for any special rules involving recursion!
The existing rules for definitions, functions, and conditionals explain everything.

```
(define pow
  (lambda (base exp)
    (if (= exp 0)
        1
        (* base (pow base (- exp 1))))))
```

What is the value of `(pow 5 2)`?

Recursion: your turn

Define and test the following recursive functions in Racket:

`(fact n)`: Return the factorial of the nonnegative integer `n`

`(fib n)`: Return the `n`th Fibonacci number

`(sum-between lo hi)`: return the sum of the integers between integers `lo` and `hi` (inclusive)

Syntactic sugar: function definitions



Syntactic sugar: simpler syntax for common pattern.

- Implemented via textual translation to existing features.
- *i.e.*, **not a new feature**.

Example: Alternative function definition syntax in Racket:

```
(define (id_funName id1 ... idn) e_body)
```

desugars to

```
(define id_funName (lambda (id1 ... idn) e_body))
```

```
(define (dbl x) (* x 2))
```

```
(define (quad x) (dbl (dbl x)))
```

```
(define (pow base exp)
  (if (< exp 1)
      1
      (* base (pow base (- exp 1)))))
```

Racket Operators are Actually Functions!

Surprise! In Racket, operations like $(+ \mathbf{e1} \mathbf{e2})$, $(< \mathbf{e1} \mathbf{e2})$ are, and $(\text{not } \mathbf{e})$ are really just function applications!

There is an initial top-level environment that contains bindings like:

$+ \rightarrow$ *addition function*,

$- \rightarrow$ *subtraction function*,

$*$ \rightarrow *multiplication function*,

$<$ \rightarrow *less-than function*,

not \rightarrow *boolean negation function*,

...

Summary So Far

Racket declarations:

- definitions: (`define` *id* *e*)

Racket expressions:

- conditionals: (`if` *e_test* *e_then* *e_else*)
- function values: (`lambda` (*id1* ... *idn*) *e_body*)
- Function calls: (*e_rator* *e_rand1* ... *e_randn*)

Note: arithmetic and relation operations are just function calls

What about?

- Assignment? Don't need it!
- Loops? Don't need them! Use **tail recursion**, coming soon.
- Data structures? Glue together two values with `cons` (next time)