

Functions in Racket



CS251 Programming Languages Spring 2016, Lyn Turbak

Department of Computer Science
Wellesley College

Racket Functions

Functions: most important building block in Racket (and 251)

- Functions/procedures/methods/subroutines abstract over computations
- Like Java methods, Python functions have arguments and result
- But no classes, **this**, **return**, etc.

Examples:

```
(define dbl (lambda (x) (* x 2)))  
  
(define quad (lambda (x) (dbl (dbl x))))  
  
(define avg (lambda (a b) (/ (+ a b) 2)))  
  
(define sqr (lambda (n) (* n n)))  
  
(define n 10)  
  
(define small? (lambda (num) (<= num n)))
```

4-2

lambda denotes a anonymous function

Syntax: `(lambda (id1 ... idn) e)`

- **lambda**: keyword that introduces an anonymous function (the function itself has no name, but you're welcome to name it using `define`)
- `id1 ... idn`: any identifiers, known as the **parameters** of the function.
- `e`: any expression, known as the **body** of the function. It typically (but not always) uses the function parameters.

Evaluation rule:

- A `lambda` expression is just a value (like a number or boolean), so a `lambda` expression evaluates to itself!
- What about the function body expression? That's not evaluated until later, when the function is **called**.

4-3

Function calls (applications)

To use a function, you **call** it on arguments (**apply** it to arguments).

E.g. in Racket: `(dbl 3)`, `(avg 8 12)`, `(small? 17)`

Syntax: `(e0 e1 ... en)`

- A function call expression has no keyword. A function call because it's the only parenthesized expression that **doesn't** begin with a keyword.
- `e0`: any expression, known as the **rator** of the function call (i.e., the function position).
- `e1 ... en`: any expressions, known as the **rands** of the function call (i.e., the argument positions).

Evaluation rule:

1. Evaluate `e0 ... en` in the current environment to values **v0 ... vn**.
2. If **v0** is not a `lambda` expression, raise an error.
3. If **v0** is a `lambda` expression, returned the result of applying it to the argument values **v1 ... vn** (see following slides).

4-4

Function application

What does it mean to apply a function value (lambda expression) to argument values? E.g.

```
((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 3)
((lambda (a b) (/ (+ a b) 2)) 8 12)
```

We will explain function application using two models:

1. The **substitution model**: substitute the argument values for the parameter names in the function body.
2. The **environment model**: extend the environment of the function with bindings of the parameter names to the argument values.

4-5

Function application: substitution model

Example 1:

```
((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 3)
```

Substitute 3 for x in (* x 2) and evaluate the result:

```
(* 3 2) ↓ 6 (environment doesn't matter in this case)
```

Example 2:

```
((lambda (a b) (/ (+ a b) 2)) 8 12)
```

Substitute 3 for x in (* x 2) and evaluate the result:

```
(/ (+ 8 12) 2) ↓ 10 (environment doesn't matter in this case)
```

4-6

Substitution notation

We will use the notation

$$e[v_1, \dots, v_n/id_1, \dots, id_n]$$

to indicate the expression that results from substituting the values v_1, \dots, v_n for the identifiers id_1, \dots, id_n in the expression e .

For example:

- $(* x 2)[3/x]$ stands for $(* 3 2)$
- $(/ (+ a b) 2)[8,12/a,b]$ stands for $(/ (+ 8 12) 2)$
- $(if (< x z) (+ (* x x) (* y y)) (/ x y)) [3,4/x,y]$ stands for $(if (< 3 z) (+ (* 3 3) (* 4 4)) (/ 3 4))$

It turns out that there are some very tricky aspects to doing substitution correctly. We'll talk about these when we encounter them.

4-7

Function call rule: substitution model

```
e0 # env ↓ (lambda (id1 ... idn) e_body)
e1 # env ↓ v1
⋮
en # env ↓ vn
e_body[v1 ... vn/id1 ... idn] # env ↓ v_body (function call)
(e0 e1 ... en) # env ↓ v_body
```

Note: no need for function application frames like those you've seen in Python, Java, C, ...

4-8

Substitution model derivation

Suppose $env2 = dbl \rightarrow (\lambda (x) (* x 2)),$
 $quad \rightarrow (\lambda (x) (dbl (dbl x)))$

```
quad # env2 ↓ (lambda (x) (dbl (dbl x)))
3 # env2 ↓ 3
  dbl # env2 ↓ (lambda (x) (* x 2))
    dbl # env2 ↓ (lambda (x) (* x 2))
      3 # env2 ↓ 3
        (* 3 2) # env2 ↓ 6 (multiplication rule, subparts omitted)
          (function call)
      (dbl 3) # env2 ↓ 6
        (* 6 2) # env2 ↓ 12 (multiplication rule, subparts omitted)
          (function call)
      (dbl (dbl 3)) # env2 ↓ 12 (function call)
    (quad 3) # env2 ↓ 12
```

4-9

Substitution model derivation: your turn

Suppose $env3 = n \rightarrow 10,$
 $small? \rightarrow (\lambda (num) (<= num n))$
 $sqr \rightarrow (\lambda (n) (* n n))$

Give an evaluation derivation for $(small? (sqr n)) \# env3$

4-10

Stepping back: name issues

Do the particular choices of function parameter names matter?

Is there any confusion caused by the fact that `dbl` and `quad` both use `x` as a parameter?

Are there any parameter names that we can't change `x` to in `quad`?

In $(small? (sqr n))$, is there any confusion between the global parameter name `n` and parameter `n` in `sqr`?

Is there any parameter name we can't use instead of `num` in `small`?

4-11

Small-step vs. big-step semantics

The evaluation derivations we've seen so far are called a **big-step semantics** because the derivation $e \# env2 \downarrow v$ explains the evaluation of e to v as one "big step" justified by the evaluation of its subexpressions.

An alternative way to express evaluation is a **small-step semantics** in which an expression is simplified to a value in a sequence of steps that simplifies subexpressions. You do this all the time when simplifying math expressions, and we can do it in Racket, too. E.g;

```
(- (* (+ 2 3) 9) (/ 18 6))
⇒ (- (* 5 9) (/ 18 6))
⇒ (- 45 (/ 18 6))
⇒ (- 45 3)
⇒ 42
```

4-12

Small-step semantics: intuition

Scan left to right to find the first **redex** (nonvalue subexpression that can be reduced to a value) and reduce it:

```
(- (* (+ 2 3) 9) (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- (* 5 9) (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- 45 (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- 45 3)  
⇒ 42
```

4-13

Small-step semantics: reduction rules

There are a small number of reduction rules for Racket. These specify the redexes of the language and how to reduce them.

The rules often require certain subparts of a redex to be **values** in order to be applicable.

```
id ⇒ v, where id → v in the current environment* (varref)  
(+ v1 v2) ⇒ v, where v is the sum of v1 and v2 (addition)  
There are similar rules for other arithmetic operators  
(if #t e_then e_else) ⇒ e_then (if true)  
(if #f e_then e_else) ⇒ e_false (if false)  
(lambda (id1 ... idn) e_body) v1 ... vn  
⇒ e_body[v1 ... vn/id1 ... idn] (function call)
```

* In a more formal approach, the notation would make the environment explicit.
E.g., *e* # *env* ⇒ *v*

4-14

Small-step semantics: conditional example

```
(+ (if (< 1 2) (* 3 4) (/ 5 6)) 7)  
⇒ (+ (if #t (* 3 4) (/ 5 6)) 7)  
⇒ (+ (* 3 4) 7)  
⇒ (+ 12 7)  
⇒ 19
```

4-15

Small-step semantics: errors as stuck expressions

Similar to big-step semantics, we model errors (dynamic type errors, divide by zero, etc.) in small-step semantics as expressions in which the evaluation process is **stuck** because no reduction rule is matched. For example

```
(- (* (+ 2 3) #t) (/ 18 6))  
⇒ (- (* 5 #t) (/ 18 6))  
  
(if (= 2 (/ (+ 3 4) (- 5 5))) 8 9)  
⇒ (if (= 2 (/ 7 (- 5 5))) 8 9)  
⇒ (if (= 2 (/ 7 0)) 8 9)
```

4-16

Small-step semantics: function example

```
(quad 3)
⇒ ((lambda (x) (dbl (dbl x))) 3)
⇒ (dbl (dbl 3))
⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (dbl 3))
⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2))
   ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 3))
⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (* 3 2))
⇒ ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 6)
⇒ (* 6 2)
⇒ 12
```

4-17

Evaluation Contexts

Although we will not do so here, it is possible to formalize exactly how to find the next redex in an expression using so-called **evaluation contexts**.

For example, in Racket, we never try to reduce an expression within the body of a `lambda`.

```
((lambda (x) (+ (* 4 5) x)) (+ 1 2))
      ↑                ↑
      not this         this is the
                       first redex
```

We'll see later in the course that other choices are possible (and sensible).

4-18

Small-step semantics: your turn

Use small-step semantics to evaluate `(small? (sqr n))`

Assume this is evaluated with respect to the same global environment used earlier.

4-19

Recursion

Recursion works as expected in Racket using the substitution model (both in big-step and small-step semantics).

There is no need for any special rules involving recursion! The existing rules for definitions, functions, and conditionals explain everything.

```
(define pow
  (lambda (base exp)
    (if (= exp 0)
        1
        (* base (pow base (- exp 1))))))
```

What is the value of `(pow 5 2)`?

4-20

Recursion: your turn

Define and test the following recursive functions in Racket:

(fact *n*): Return the factorial of the nonnegative integer *n*

(fib *n*): Return the *n*th Fibonacci number

(sum-between *lo hi*): return the sum of the integers between integers *lo* and *hi* (inclusive)

4-21

Syntactic sugar: function definitions



Syntactic sugar: simpler syntax for common pattern.

- Implemented via textual translation to existing features.
- *i.e.*, **not a new feature**.

Example: Alternative function definition syntax in Racket:

```
(define (id_funName id1 ... idn) e_body)
```

desugars to

```
(define id_funName (lambda (id1 ... idn) e_body))
```

```
(define (dbl x) (* x 2))
```

```
(define (quad x) (dbl (dbl x)))
```

```
(define (pow base exp)  
  (if (< exp 1)  
      1  
      (* base (pow base (- exp 1)))))
```

4-22

Racket Operators are Actually Functions!

Surprise! In Racket, operations like (+ *e1 e2*), (< *e1 e2*) are, and (not *e*) are really just function applications!

There is an initial top-level environment that contains bindings like:

- + → *addition function*,
- → *subtraction function*,
- * → *multiplication function*,
- < → *less-than function*,
- not → *boolean negation function*,

...

4-23

Summary So Far

Racket declarations:

- definitions: (define *id e*)

Racket expressions:

- conditionals: (if *e_test e_then e_else*)
- function values: (lambda (*id1 ... idn*) *e_body*)
- Function calls: (*e_rator e_rand1 ... e_randn*)
Note: arithmetic and relation operations are just function calls

What about?

- Assignment? Don't need it!
- Loops? Don't need them! Use **tail recursion**, coming soon.
- Data structures? Glue together two values with cons (next time)

4-24