Control

Handout #38 CS251 Lectures 34-35 May 4, 2001

What is Control?

- In program execution, *control* refers to "where" the computation currently is.
- Control is characterized by two components:
 - (1) the expression (or statement) currently being evaluated.
 - CS111: the red control dot.
 - CS240: the program counter.
 - CS251: the argument to subst-eval in the substitution model
 - (2) The *continuation* = all the pending operations that need to be performed when the value of the expression currently being evaluated is returned.
 - CS111: the pending execution frames in the Java Execution Mode.
 - CS240: the stack of procedure call activation frames.
 - CS251: the surrounding expressions in the Scheme substitution model

We will call the pair of (1) and (2) a *control point*.

• All computation is an iteration through control points.

Control Point Example 1

Expression	Continuation			
(/ (+ (* 6 5) (- 7 3)) 2)	top			
(+ (* 6 5) (- 7 3))	((v1) (top (/ v1 2)))			
(* б 5)	((v2) (top (/ (+ v2 (- 7 3)) 2)))			
(- 7 3)	((v3) (top (/ (+ 30 v3) 2)))			
(+ 30 4)	((v4) (top (/ v4 2)))			
(/ 34 2)	top			
17				

Notes:

- top designates the top-level continuation
- The above assumes left-to-right evaluation of arguments (MIT Scheme evaluates them right-to-left.)

Control Point Example 2

(fact 3)

Expression	Continuation					
(fact 3)	top					
(fact 2)	((v1)	(top	(*	3	v1)))
(fact 1)	((v2)	(top	(*	3	(* 2 v2))))
(fact 0)	((v3)	(top	(*	3	(* 2 (* 1 v3)))))
(* 1 1)	((v4)	(top	(*	3	(* 2 v4))))
(* 2 1)	((v5)	(top	(*	3	v5)))
(* 3 2)	top					
6						

Control Aspects of Familiar Constructs

- Evaluating nested subexpressions requires choosing an order and remembering what to do next.
 - Argument evaluation order is left-to-right in most language.
 - Evaluation order unspecified in Scheme (right-to-left in MIT Scheme).
- Sequencing of statements in imperative language.
- Conditionals allow branches in control flow.
- Loops/tail recursion specify iterations.
- Function/procedure call and return
 - In many execution models (e.g., C, Pascal, Java), calling a procedure pushes an activation frame on the call stack and returning from a procedure pops the activation from from the call stack.
 - In properly tail-recursive languages (e.g. Scheme, most ML implementations) stack is pushed by subexpression evaluation and procedure calls act like "gotos that pass arguments" (see Guy Steele's, "Debunking the Expensive Procedure Call Myth or Lambda: The Ultimate Goto.")

Altering the Normal Flow of Control

Sometimes want to "break out" from the normal flow of control in a program:

- Want to immediately stop execution of the program, at user request (typing Control-C) or due to finding an error. E.g. Scheme's error; halt opcode in assembly language.
- Discover an answer "early" and want to return it immediately without processing all pending computations. E.g. encountering a zero when finding the product of a list or array.
- Encounter an unusual situation that may need to be handled differently in different contexts. E.g., division by zero, out-of-bounds array access, unbound variables in environment lookup.
- Altering the normal flow of control can be very convenient and efficient, but can also lead to "spaghetti code". Dijkstra's "*Goto Considered Harmful*" and the structured programming movement of the 1970s advocated control constructs with one control input and one control output.

Non-local Exits: Return

In C, C++, and Java, return can force "early" exit of a function/method.

Example (Java): calculating array product. Want to return early if encounter a zero. Also suppose that encountering any negative number should cause the result to be -1.

```
public static int arrayProd (int[] a) {
  int prod = 1;
  for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    if (a[i] < 0)
       return 0; // Non-local exit from loop
    else if (a[i] == 0) then
      return -1; // Non-local exit from loop
    else
      prod = a[i] * prod;
  return prod;
```

Non-local Exits: Break

Java has labeled **break** statements for breaking out of a loop.

```
public static int sumArrayProds (int[][] a) {
  int sum = 0;
  outer:for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {</pre>
    int prod = 1;
    inner:for (int j = 0; i < a[i].length; j++) {</pre>
      if (a[i][j] < 0)
        break outer; // Return current sum on negative num
      else if (a[i][j] == 0) {
        prod = 0; break inner;
        // Alternatively: continue outer;
      else
        prod = a[i][j] * prod;}
    sum = sum + prod;}
  return sum; }
```

- Java's labeled **continue** statement jumps to end of specified loop.
- C's unlabeled **break** and **continue** that work on innermost enclosing loop.

Non-Local Exits: Goto

In Pascal, can only express non-local exits via goto:

```
function listProd (outer_lst: intlist): integer;
  label 17; {labels are denoted by numbers 0 to 9999}
  function inner (lst: intlist): integer;
   begin
     if lst = nil then
      inner := 1
     else if lst<sup>^</sup>.data = 0 then
      begin
       product := 0; {Sets return value of function}
       goto 17; {Control jumps to label 17}
      end;
     else
      inner := lst^.data * inner(lst^.next)
   end;
begin
    product := inner (outer_lst);
    17:
end;
```

Non-Local Exits: Label and Jump

We will study non-local exits in Scheme by extending it with the following label and jump constructs:

(label I E)

Evaluates E in a lexical environment in which the name I is bound to a first-class *control point* that represents the continuation of the entire label expression.

(jump El E2)

Returns the value of E2 to the control point that is the value of E1. (jump signals an error if E1 is not a control point.)

Label and Jump: Simple Examples

(+ 1 (label exit (* 2 (- 3 (/ 4 1))))

(+ 1 (label exit (* 2 (- 3 (/ 4 (jump exit 5)))))

```
(+ 1 (label exit
(* 2 (- 3 (/ 4 (jump exit (+ 5 (jump exit 6)))))))
```

Label and Jump: List Product

Label and Jump: List Product Alternative

Control Points Introduced by label are First-Class

First-class Control Points can do Strange and Wondrous Things!

```
(let ((q (lambda (x) x)))
  (letrec ((fact (lambda (n)
                      (if (= n 0))
                          (label base
                             (begin
                               (set! q (lambda (y)
                                          (begin
                                            (set! g (lambda (z) z))
                                            (jump base y))))
                               1))
                          (* n (fact (- n 1))))))
    (+ (g 10))
       (+ (fact 3) ;Cont. = (lambda (v) (+ 10 (+ v (+ ...)))
           (+ (g 10))
              (+ (fact 4) ; Cont. = (abs (v) (+ 10 (+ 60 (+ 10 (+ v ...)))))
                 (q 10)))))))
```

Scheme's call-with-current-continuation

Off-the-shelf Scheme does not support label and jump. But it does support call-with-current-continuation, which can be used to implement most advanced control constructs.

```
(call-with-current-continuation Eproc) behaves like:
```

```
(let ((Iproc Eproc)) ;; Assume Iproc fresh
  (label here
    (Iproc (lambda (val) (jump here val)))))
```

Example of call-with-current-continuation

Exception Handling

Want to be able to "signal" exceptional situations and handle them differently in different contexts.

Many languages provide exception systems:

- Java's throw and try
- ML's raise and handle
- Common Lisp's throw and catch

Raise, trap, and handle

We will study exception handling in a version of Scheme extended with the following constructs:

- (raise T E) Evaluate E to value V and raise exception with tag T and value V.
- (trap T E_handler E_body)

First evaluate E_handler to a one-argument handler function V_handler. Then evaluate E_body to value V_body. If no exception is encountered, return V_body. If an exception is raised with tag T and value V_val, the call to *raise* returns with the value of (V_handler V_val) evaluated at the point of the raise.

• (handle T E_handler E_body)

First evaluate E_handler to a one-argument handler function V_handler. Then evaluate E_body to value V_body. If no exception is encountered, return V_body. If an exception is raised with tag T and value V_val, the call to *handle* returns with the value of (V_handler V_val) evaluated at the point of the handle.

Exception Handling Examples

What is the value of the following, where handler_1 and handler_2 range over {trap, handle}? First assume left-to-right evaluation of arguments, then right-to-left.

```
(handler_1 negative (lambda (v) (- v))
  (handler_2 even (lambda (v) (* v v))
      (test)))
```

```
(handler_1 even (lambda (v) (* v v))
  (handler_2 negative (lambda (v) (- v))
      (test)))
```