Overview:
The purpose of this assignment is to give you practice with implementing collections via linear structures.

Working Together:
If you worked with a partner on a previous problem set and want to work with a partner on this assignment, you are encouraged to choose a different partner. However, you may also work with someone you worked with in the first half of the semester.

Submission:
Each team should turn in a single hardcopy submission packet for all problems by slipping it under Lyn’s office door by 6pm on the due date. The packet should include:

1. a team header sheet (see the end of this assignment for the header sheet) indicating the time that you (and your partner, if you are working with one) spent on the parts of the assignment;

2. For Problem 1, your hardcopy submission should be your final versions of MaxPQArray.java and MinPQArray.java, your testing transcripts showing that these work as expected.

3. For Problem 2, your hardcopy submission should be your final version of QueueCircular.java, and your testing transcripts showing that it works as expected.

Each team should also submit a single softcopy (consisting of your final ps5 directory) to the drop directory ~cs230/drop/ps5/username, where username is the username of one of the team members (indicate which drop folder you used on your hardcopy header sheet). To do this, execute the following commands in Linux in the account of the team member being used to store the code.

```
cd /students/username/cs230
cp -R ps5 ~cs230/drop/ps5/username/
```

Problem 0: Getting Started
Before starting either programming problem, you should review the collection code that we have been covering in class. In particular, you should carefully study the classes mentioned below after performing a cvs update -d.

a. : Flat Collections
Because this assignment involves working with queues and priority queues, you should study the following files:
We call these **flat collections** because each implementation file and interface file is self-contained.

### b. Hierarchical Collections

A drawback of the flat collections is that much work is repeated between classes. For instance:

- the Queue, MaxPQ, and MinPQ interfaces are nearly identical;
- the QueueVectorBF and QueueVectorFB implementations are similar;
- the MaxPQVector and MinPQVector implementations are similar;
- the QueueTester, MaxPQTester, and MaxPQVector testing classes are nearly identical.

Collection interfaces, implementations, and testing classes can be reorganized in a hierarchy that allows common features to be expressed in nodes high in the hierarchy and shared by nodes lower in the hierarchy via inheritance. In this organization, a class need only specify variables and methods that differentiate it from its superclass. This “programming by differences” is a hallmark of object-oriented design.

To understand this organization, carefully study the following files. These specify the same interfaces and implementations as with the flat collections, but do so with a hierarchical organization:

```plaintext
// General Collections
~/cs230/collections/Collection.java
~/cs230/collections/CollectionImpl.java

// Queues
~/cs230/collections/Queue.java
~/cs230/collections/QueueImpl.java
~/cs230/collections/QueueVectorBF.java
~/cs230/collections/QueueVectorFB.java
~/cs230/collections/QueueTwoEndedMLList.java

// Priority Queues
~/cs230/collections/PQImpl.java
~/cs230/collections/MaxPQ.java
~/cs230/collections/MaxPQVector.java
~/cs230/collections/MinPQ.java
~/cs230/collections/MinPQVector.java
```
Problem 1 [55]: Representing Priority Queues as Arrays

Arrays can be used to efficiently implement arbitrary sized collections as long as a size instance variable is used to hold the number of collection elements in the array stored in the elts instance variable. Slots with indices 0 through elts.size - 1 hold the elements of the collection, while slots size through elts.length hold “garbage elements” that can safely be ignored. When size = elts.length, any attempt to add a new element to the collection must increase the size of the array. It’s a good idea to double the size of the array in these cases to make such events rare.

In this problem, you will be implementing priority queues as arrays. This is very similar to the vector implementation of priority queues you studied in Problem 0. Indeed, Java vectors themselves are usually implemented as arrays in the manner described above.

a. [45]: MaxPQArray

In this problem, you are to flesh out an implementation of MaxPQ that represents a priority queue using three instance variables:

1. A variable comp that holds the Comparator used to determine the order of elements. If no comparator is explicitly supplied in the creation of a MaxPQArray instance, comp should be initialized to an instance of the ComparableComparator class.
2. A variable size that holds the number of elements in the priority queue.
3. A variable elts that holds an array of at least size slots, where the slots at indices 0 through size - 1 store the elements of the priority queue in order from low to high according to the order determined by comp. If elts.length > size, the slots at indices size through elts.length - 1 are considered to hold “garbage” elements.

Your goal in this part is to flesh out the skeleton of the MaxPQArray class in the file MaxPQArray.java. This class implements the MaxPQ interface. You will need to flesh out the following methods:

Constructor Method:
    public MaxPQArray (Comparator c);
    public MaxPQArray ();

Instance Methods:
    public void enq (Object x);
    public Object deq ();
    public Object first();
    public int size();
    public boolean isEmpty();
    public void clear();
    public Object clone();
    public ObjectList elements();
    public ObjectList toList();

Notes:

- Define whatever auxiliary methods you deem helpful. You should make such methods private.
- Be sure to use the Comparator instance in comp for all element comparisons.
- To access methods from ObjectList and ObjectListOps, you can use the OL. prefix.
• For `elements()` you should define a new `Enumeration` class that enumerates the elements in the correct order. You can either define a separate class or declare an anonymous inner subclass of `Enumeration`.

• Test your implementation by invoking the `main` method via `java MinPQArray`. You should turn in a transcript of this invocation.

b. [10]: `MinPQArray`

It is possible to implement a `MinPQ` using the same three instance variables as above. Rather than creating such a class from scratch, it is possible to leverage off the existing `MaxPQArray` class by making `MinPQArray` a subclass of `MaxPQArray` that implements `MinPQ`. The file `MinPQArray.java` contains the skeleton of an implementation of the `MinPQArray` class defined in this way. Your goal is to flesh out the skeleton with the minimal number of methods that will make it correct. **Hint:** Study the files `MaxPQVector.java` and `MinPQVector.java`, which contain implementations of queues related in a similar way.

Test your implementation by invoking the `main` method via `java MinPQArray`. You should turn in a transcript of this invocation.

**Problem 2 [45]: Circular Queues**

In lecture, we saw that a queue can be efficiently represented as a mutable list as long as it maintains pointers to both the front node of the list (where elements are dequeued) and to the last node of the list (where elements are enqueued). In this problem, we shall see that it is possible for a queue representation to use just a single pointer if we represent the queue as a **circular list** (a.k.a. a **cyclic list**) – i.e., a list that wraps back on itself.

For instance, in this representation, enqueuing A, B, and C in order onto an initially empty queue would lead to a sequence structures depicted by the following box-and-pointer diagrams in Fig. 1. In the diagrams, the elements stored in the heads of the nodes never change. The tails of nodes are rewired to give the depicted structure.

In all but the empty queue case, the queue variable holds a pointer to the back node of the queue (the node holding the most recently enqueued element). This facilitates enqueuing a new back node as well as dequeuing the front node (least recently enqueued) node, which is always the tail of the back node.

In this problem, you will implement queues in terms of the circular list representation sketched above. Using the static methods for mutable and immutable object lists, implement the following queue operations in the destructive interface to queues:

**Constructor Method:**

```java
public QueueCircular ();
```

**Instance Methods:**

```java
public boolean isEmpty();
public void enq (Object x);
public Object deq ();
public Object first();
public int size();
public void clear();
public Object clone();
public ObjectList toList();
```
Figure 1: Enqueuing the elements A, B, and C onto an initially empty circular queue.

The file QueueCircular.java contains code skeletons for these methods that you should flesh out. You can test your implementation by invoking java QueueCircular. You should submit a transcript of this invocation.

Notes:

- The ObjectMList class exports the standard operations on mutable lists of objects: empty, isEmpty, prepend, head, tail, setHead and setTail. The ObjectMListOps class exports some additional operations on mutable object lists. Among these are the following (for the complete list of methods, see the file ObjectMListOps.java):

```java
public static int length (ObjectMList L);
Returns the number of nodes in L.

public static ObjectMList lastNode (ObjectMList L);
Returns the last node of a non-cyclic list L. Raises an exception if L is empty.

public static ObjectMList copy (ObjectMList L);
Returns a shallow copy of L. The result consists of brand new ObjectMList nodes that share the same elements as L.

public static ObjectList toObjectList (ObjectMList L);
Returns an ObjectList that has the same elements as L.

public static ObjectMList fromObjectList (ObjectList L);
Returns an ObjectMList that has the same elements as L.
```
• The ObjectList and ObjectListOps classes export similar operations for immutable object lists (except for setHead and setTail, which immutable lists do not support).

• To access methods from the list classes, you can use the prefix OL. for both ObjectList and ObjectListOps and the prefix OML. for both ObjectMList and ObjectMListOps.

• You should maintain the invariant that the elts instance variable is either the empty mutable list or a circular mutable list in which elts points to the node containing the most recently enqueued element. Because elts is a circular list, it is particularly tricky to define the size, clone, and toList. There are two approaches to writing these methods:

  1. In the surgical approach, you first temporarily modify elts so that it is no longer cyclic, perform appropriate operations, and then make elts cyclic again before returning the result.

  2. In the non-surgical approach, you directly manipulate elts as a cyclic list. When traversing elts using this approach, you must be sure to include an appropriate stopping condition. If you neglect to do this, you will get an infinite recursion, and your program will crash! The best way to debug an infinite recursion is to insert lots of System.out.printlns in your code, and use these to pinpoint which part of your code is causing the infinite recursion.

    For the non-surgical approach, it is helpful to know that == tests for pointer equality of list nodes – it returns true only when two nodes are exactly the same object object in ObjectLand (i.e., they were created by the same invocation of prepend).

You are welcome to use either of the above two strategies in your size, clone, and toList methods. You can use different strategies for different methods if you like.

• Introduce any auxiliary methods that you find helpful.

• In many of the methods, you will need to treat the case where elts is the empty list specially.

• As discussed in lecture, we could simplify some operations and/or make them more efficient by adding extra instance variables. For instance, the size method would be easier to implement and more efficient if there were a size instance variable. However, for this particular problem, you should not add any extra instance variables – elts should be your only instance variable.
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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