

#### What Machines Can Do

Sipser: Section 4.1 pages 193 - 201



Problems Concerning Regular Languages: Acceptance

#### Definition.

 $A_{DFA} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA that accepts input string } w \}$ 

 $A_{NFA} = \{ \langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is an NFA that accepts input string } w \}$ 

 $A_{REX} = \{\langle R, w \rangle \mid R \text{ is a regular expression that generates string } w\}$ 



# Deciding Regular Languages

**Theorem.**  $A_{DFA}$  is decidable.

**Proof.** M = "On input < B, w>,

- 1. Simulate B on input w.
- If the simulation ends in an accept state, accept.
  If it ends in a nonaccepting state, reject."



### Guessing is No Problem

**Theorem.**  $A_{NFA}$  is decidable.

**Proof**. N = "On input < B, w>,

- 1. Convert NFA B to an equivalent DFA C.
- 2. Simulate TM M on input < C, w>.
- 3. If *M* accepts, *accept*. Otherwise, *reject*."



# Deciding Regular Expressions

**Theorem.**  $A_{REX}$  is decidable.

**Proof.** P = "On input < R, w>,

- 1. Convert RE R to an equivalent DFA C.
- 2. Simulate TM M on input < C, w>.
- 3. If *M* accepts, *accept*. Otherwise, *reject*."



**Definition**.  $E_{DFA} = \{ \langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) = \emptyset \}$ 

Is *E*<sub>DFA</sub> decidable?



**Definition**.  $EQ_{DFA} = \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs and } L(A) = L(B) \}$ 

Is EQDEA decidable?





- 1. For the DFA M on the right
  - a. Is  $\langle M, 0100 \rangle \in A_{DFA}$ ?
  - b. Is  $\langle M, 010 \rangle \in A_{DFA}$ ?
  - c. Is  $\langle M \rangle \in A_{DFA}$ ?
  - d. Is <M, 0100>  $\in A_{REX}$ ?
  - e. Is  $\langle M \rangle \in E_{DFA}$ ?
  - f. Is  $\langle M, M \rangle \in EQ_{DFA}$ ?



2. Let  $ALL_{DFA} = \{ \langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) = \Sigma^* \}$ . Show that  $ALL_{DFA}$  is decidable.



## Deciding Context-Free Languages?

**Definition**.  $A_{CFG} = \{ \langle G, w \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG that generates } w \}$ 



# Yes! (What a Relief)

**Theorem.**  $A_{CFG}$  is decidable.

**Proof**. S = "On input < G, w>,

1. Convert G to an equivalent grammar in Chomsky normal form.

**2.** List all derivations with 2n-1 steps, where *n* is the length of *w*.

3. If any of these derivations generate *w*, *accept*. Otherwise, *reject*."



**Definition.**  $E_{CFG} = \{ \langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a } CFG \text{ and } L(G) = \emptyset \}$ 

Is  $E_{CFG}$  decidable?



**Definition**.  $EQ_{CFG} = \{\langle G, H \rangle \mid G \text{ and } H \text{ are } CFGs \text{ and } L(G) = L(H) \}$ 

Is *EQ*<sub>CFG</sub> decidable?



### The Missing Piece

#### Theorem. Every context-free language is decidable.

**Proof.** Let G be a CFG for A. We design a TM  $M_G$  that decides A as follows.

 $M_G$  = "On input *w*.

1. Run TM S on input < G, w>.

If this machine accepts, accept.
 Otherwise, reject."