



# x86 Control Flow

(Part A, Part B)

Condition codes, comparisons, and tests  
[Un]Conditional jumps and conditional moves  
Translating if-else, loops, and switch statements

## Conditionals and Control Flow

Two key pieces

1. Comparisons and tests: check conditions
2. Transfer control: choose next instruction

Familiar C constructs

- if else
- while
- do while
- for
- break
- continue

### Processor Control-Flow State

**Condition codes** (a.k.a. *flags*)

1-bit registers hold flags set by last ALU operation

ZF	Zero Flag	result == 0
SF	Sign Flag	result < 0
CF	Carry Flag	carry-out/unsigned overflow
OF	Overflow Flag	two's complement overflow

**%rip** **Instruction pointer**  
(a.k.a. *program counter*)

register holds address of next instruction to execute

## 1. Compare and test: conditions

`cmpq b, a` computes  $a - b$ , sets flags, discards result

Which flags indicate that  $a < b$ ? (signed? unsigned?)

`testq b, a` computes  $a \& b$ , sets flags, discards result

Common pattern:

```
testq %rax, %rax
```

What do ZF and SF indicate?

## (Aside) Saving conditions as Boolean values

**setg**: set if greater


stores byte:

0x01 if  $\sim(SF \wedge OF) \& \sim ZF$   
0x00 otherwise

```
long gt(int x, int y) {
    return x > y;
}
```

```
gt:
    cmpq %rsi,%rdi    # compare: x - y
    setg %al          # al = x > y
    movzbq %al,%rax  # zero rest of %rax
    retq
```

Zero-extend from Byte (8 bits) to Quadword (64 bits)



set\_\_ comes in same flavors  
as j\_\_ (next slide)

## 2. Jump: choose next instruction

Jump/branch to different part of code by setting %rip.


	j__	Condition	Description
Unconditional jump	jmp	1	Unconditional
	je	ZF	Equal / Zero
	jne	~ZF	Not Equal / Not Zero
	js	SF	Negative
Conditional jumps	jns	~SF	Nonnegative
	jg	~(SF^OF) & ~ZF	Greater (Signed)
	jge	~(SF^OF)	Greater or Equal (Signed)
	jl	(SF^OF)	Less (Signed)
	jle	(SF^OF)   ZF	Less or Equal (Signed)
	ja	~CF & ~ZF	Above (unsigned)
	jb	CF	Below (unsigned)

## Jump for control flow

Jump immediately follows comparison/test.

Together, they make a decision:

"if %rcx == %rax then jump to label."



## Conditional branch example

```

long absdiff(long x, long y) {
    long result;
    if (x > y) {
        result = x-y;
    } else {
        result = y-x;
    }
    return result;
}
    
```

```

absdiff:
    cmpq %rsi, %rdi
    jle .L7
    subq %rsi, %rdi
    movq %rdi, %rax
.L8:
    retq
.L7:
    subq %rdi, %rsi
    movq %rsi, %rax
    jmp .L8
    
```

**Labels**  
Name for address of following item.

How did the compiler create this?


## Control-Flow Graph

Code flowchart/directed graph.

Introduced by Fran Allen, et al.  
Won the 2006 Turing Award  
for her work on compilers.




Nodes = **Basic Blocks**:  
Straight-line code always  
executed together in order.




```

long absdiff(long x, long y){
    long result;
    if (x > y) {
        result = x-y;
    } else {
        result = y-x;
    }
    return result;
}
    
```

## Choose a linear order of basic blocks.




## Choose a linear order of basic blocks.




Why might the compiler choose this basic block order instead of another valid order?

## Translate basic blocks with jumps + labels



Why might the compiler choose this basic block order instead of another valid order?

## Execute absdiff



ex

Registers

%rax	
%rdi	5
%rsi	3

## Execute absdiff

ex

```
cmpq %rsi, %rdi
jle  Else
```

```
subq %rsi, %rdi
movq %rdi, %rax
```

End:

```
retq
```

Else:

```
subq %rdi, %rsi
movq %rsi, %rax
jmp End
```

Registers

%rax	
%rdi	4
%rsi	7

x86 Control Flow 15

## Note: CSAPP shows translation with goto

```
long absdiff(long x, long y){
    int result;
    if (x > y) {
        result = x-y;
    } else {
        result = y-x;
    }
    return result;
}
```

```
long goto_ad(long x, long y){
    int result;
    if (x <= y) goto Else;
    result = x-y;
End:
    return result;
Else:
    result = y-x;
    goto End;
}
```

x86 Control Flow 18

## Note: CSAPP shows translation with goto

```
long goto_ad(long x, long y){
    long result;
    if (x <= y) goto Else;
    result = x-y;
End:
    return result;
Else:
    result = y-x;
    goto End;
}
```

absdiff:

```
cmpq %rsi, %rdi
jle  Else
```

```
subq %rsi, %rdi
movq %rdi, %rax
```

End:

```
retq
```


Else:

```
subq %rdi, %rsi
movq %rsi, %rax
jmp End
```

Close to assembly code.

x86 Control Flow 19

## But never use goto in your source code!



<http://xkcd.com/292/>

x86 Control Flow 20

## Compile if-else

ex

```
long wacky(long x, long y){
    long result;
    if (x + y > 7) {
        result = x;
    } else {
        result = y + 2;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Assume `x` is available in `%rdi`,  
`y` is available in `%rsi`.

Place `result` in `%rax` for return.

wacky:

x86 Control Flow 21

## Compile if-else (solution #1)

ex

```
long wacky(long x, long y){
    long result;
    if (x + y > 7) {
        result = x;
    } else {
        result = y + 2;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Assume `x` is available in `%rdi`,  
`y` is available in `%rsi`.

Place `result` in `%rax` for return.

```
wacky:
    movq %rdi, %rdx
    addq %rsi, %rdx
    cmpq $7, %rdx
    jle Else
```

```
    movq %rdi, %rax
```

```
End:
    retq
```

```
Else:
    addq $2, %rsi
    movq %rsi, %rax
    jmp End
```

x86 Control Flow 22

## Compile if-else (solution #2)

ex

```
long wacky(long x, long y){
    long result;
    if (x + y > 7) {
        result = x;
    } else {
        result = y + 2;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Assume `x` is available in `%rdi`,  
`y` is available in `%rsi`.

Place `result` in `%rax` for return.

```
wacky:
    leaq (%rdi, %rsi), %rdx
    cmpq $7, %rdx
    jle Else
```

```
    movq %rdi, %rax
```

```
End:
    retq
```

```
Else:
    leaq 2(%rsi), %rax
    jmp End
```

x86 Control Flow 23

## Encoding jumps: PC-relative addressing

0x100	cmpq	%rax, %rbx	0x1000
0x102	je	0x70	0x1002
0x104	...		0x1004
...	...		...
0x174	addq	%rax, %rbx	0x1074

↓

PC-relative *offsets* support relocatable code.

Absolute branches do not (or it's hard).

x86 Control Flow 24




# x86 Control Flow

(Part A, Part B)


Condition codes, comparisons, and tests  
[Un]Conditional jumps and **conditional moves**  
Translating if-else, loops, and switch statements

## do while loop

```
long fact_do(long x) {
    long result = 1;
    do {
        result = result * x;
        x = x - 1;
    } while (x > 1);
    return result;
}
```



## do while loop




Register	Variable
%rdi	
%rax	

Why put the loop condition at the end?

## while loop

```
long fact_while(long x){
    long result = 1;
    while (x > 1) {
        result = result * x;
        x = x - 1;
    }
    return result;
}
```



This order is used by GCC for x86-64. Why?

## while loop

```
long fact_while(long x){
    long result = 1;
    while (x > 1) {
        result = result * x;
        x = x - 1;
    }
    return result;
}
```

```
int result = 1;
```

```
result = result * x;
x = x - 1;
```

```
(x > 1) ?
```

```
return result;
```

```
fact_while:
    movq $1, %rax
    jmp  .L34

.L35:
    imulq %rdi, %rax
    decq %rdi

.L34:
    cmpq $1, %rdi
    jg  .L35


    retq
```

x86 Control Flow 29


## for loop translation

```
for (Initialize; Test; Update) {
    Body
}
```

```
Initialize;
while (Test) {
    Body;
    Update;
}
```



```
for (result = 1; p != 0; p = p>>1) {
    if (p & 0x1) {
        result = result * x;
    }
    x = x * x;
}
```



x86 Control Flow 30

## for loop: square-and-multiply

optional

```
/* Compute x raised to nonnegative power p */
int power(int x, unsigned int p) {
    int result;
    for (result = 1; p != 0; p = p>>1) {
        if (p & 0x1) {
            result = result * x;
        }
        x = x*x;
    }
    return result;
}
```

$$x^m * x^n = x^{m+n}$$

$$1^{2^{31}} * \dots * 1^{16} * x^8 * 1^4 * x^2 * x^1 = x^{11}$$

$$1 = x^0 \quad x = x^1$$

### Algorithm

Exploit bit representation:  $p = p_0 + 2p_1 + 2^2p_2 + \dots + 2^{n-1}p_{n-1}$

Gives:  $x^p = z_0 \cdot z_1^2 \cdot (z_2^2)^2 \cdot \dots \cdot (\dots((z_{n-1}^2)^2)\dots)^2$

$z_i = 1$  when  $p_i = 0$

$z_i = x$  when  $p_i = 1$

Complexity  $O(\log p) = O(\text{sizeof}(p))$

Example

$$3^{11} = 3^1 * 3^2 * 3^8$$

$$= 3^1 * 3^2 * ((3^2)^2)$$

## for loop: power iterations

optional

```
/* Compute x raised to nonnegative power p */
int power(int x, unsigned int p) {
    int result;
    for (result = 1; p != 0; p = p>>1) {
        if (p & 0x1) {
            result = result * x;
        }
        x = x*x;
    }
    return result;
}
```

iterations	result	x	p
0	1	3	11 = 1011 <sub>2</sub>
1	3	9	5 = 101 <sub>2</sub>
2	27	81	2 = 10 <sub>2</sub>
3	27	6561	1 = 1 <sub>2</sub>
4	177147	43046721	0 <sub>2</sub>

x86 Control Flow 32

## (Aside) Conditional Move

Why? Branch prediction in pipelined/OoO processors.

`cmov_ src, dest`  
if (Test) Dest ← Src

```
long absdiff(long x, long y) {
    return x>y ? x-y : y-x;
}
```

```
long absdiff(long x, long y) {
    long result;
    if (x > y) {
        result = x - y;
    } else {
        result = y - x;
    }
    return result;
}
```

absdiff:

```
movq    %rdi, %rax
subq    %rsi, %rax
movq    %rsi, %rdx
subq    %rdi, %rdx
cmpq    %rsi, %rdi
cmovle %rdx, %rax
ret
```

x86 Control Flow 33

## (Aside) Bad uses of conditional move

Expensive Computations

```
val = Test(x) ? Hard1(x) : Hard2(x);
```

Risky Computations

```
val = p ? *p : 0;
```

Computations with side effects

```
val = x > 0 ? x*=7 : x+=3;
```

x86 Control Flow 34

## switch statement

```
long switch_eg (long x, long y, long z) {
    long w = 1;
    switch(x) {
    case 1:
        w = y * z;
        break;
    case 2:
        w = y - z;
    case 3:
        w += z;
        break;
    case 5:
    case 6:
        w -= z;
        break;
    default:
        w = 2;
    }
    return w;
}
```

Fall through cases

Multiple case labels

Missing cases use default

Lots to manage:  
use a *jump table*.

x86 Control Flow 35


## switch jump table structure

C code:

```
switch(x) {
    case 1: <some code>
        break;
    case 2: <some code>
    case 3: <some code>
        break;
    case 5:
    case 6: <some code>
        break;
    default: <some code>
}
```

Translation sketch:

```
if (0 <= x && x <= 6)
    addr = jumptable[x];
    goto addr;
else
    goto default;
```



x86 Control Flow 36



# switch jump table assembly declaration

read-only data  
(not instructions)

```

.section .rodata
.align 8
.L4:
.quad .L8 # x == 0
.quad .L3 # x == 1
.quad .L5 # x == 2
.quad .L9 # x == 3
.quad .L8 # x == 4
.quad .L7 # x == 5
.quad .L7 # x == 6

switch(x) {
case 1: // .L3
    w = y * z;
    break;
case 2: // .L5
    w = y - z;
case 3: // .L9
    w += z;
    break;
case 5:
case 6: // .L7
    w -= z;
    break;
default: // .L8
    w = 2;
}
    
```

"quad" = q suffix = 8-byte value

# switch case dispatch

```

long switch_eg(long x, long y, long z) {
    long w = 1;
    switch(x) {
        . . .
    }
    return w;
}

switch_eg:
    movl $1, %eax
    cmpq $6, %rdi
    ja .L8
    jmp *.L4(, %rdi, 8)
    
```

Jump if above (unsigned, but...)

indirect jump

Jump table

```

.section .rodata
.align 8
.L4:
.quad .L8 # x == 0
.quad .L3 # x == 1
.quad .L5 # x == 2
.quad .L9 # x == 3
.quad .L8 # x == 4
.quad .L7 # x == 5
.quad .L7 # x == 6
    
```

# switch cases

```

switch(x) {
case 1: // .L3
    w = y * z;
    break;
case 2: // .L5
    w = y - z;
case 3: // .L9
    w += z;
    break;
case 5: // .L7
case 6: // .L7
    w -= z;
    break;
default: // .L8
    w = 2;
}
return w;
    
```

	<b>Reg.</b>	<b>Use</b>
	%rdi	x
	%rsi	y
	%rdx	z
	%rax	w

```

.L3: movq %rsi, %rax
     imulq %rdx, %rax
     retq ← "inlined"
.L5: movq %rsi, %rax
     subq %rdx, %rax
.L9: addq %rdx, %rax
     retq ← "Fall-through."
.L7: subq %rdx, %rax
     retq
.L8: movl $2, %eax
     retq
    
```

Aside: movl is used because 2 is a small positive value that fits in 32 bits. High order bits of %rax get set to zero automatically. It takes fewer bytes to encode a literal movl vs a movq.

# switch machine code

```

Assembly Code
switch_eg:
. . .
cmpq $6, %rdi
ja .L8
jmp *.L4(, %rdi, 8)
    
```

```

Disassembled Object Code
0000000004004f6 <switch_eg>:
. . .
4004fd: 77 2b ja 40052a <switch_eg+0x34>
4004ff: ff 24 fd d0 05 40 00 jmpq *0x4005d0(, %rdi, 8)
    
```

## Inspect jump table contents using GDB.

Examine contents as z addresses

```

(gdb) x/7a 0x4005d0
0x4005d0: 0x40052a <switch_eg+52>
0x4005e0: 0x40050e <switch_eg+24>
0x4005f0: 0x40052a <switch_eg+52>
0x400600: 0x400521 <switch_eg+43>
    
```

Address of code for case 0 → 0x40052a  
 Address of code for case 1 → 0x40050e  
 Address of code for case 6 → 0x400521

Would you implement this with a jump table?

```
switch(x) {  
  case 0:    <some code>  
            break;  
  case 10:   <some code>  
            break;  
  case 52000: <some code>  
            break;  
  default:  <some code>  
            break;  
}
```



# x86 Control Flow

(Part A, Part B)

Condition codes, comparisons, and tests  
[Un]Conditional jumps and conditional moves  
Translating if-else, loops, and switch statements