




Dynamic Memory Allocation in the Heap

Explicit allocators

Manual memory management

C: implementing malloc and free

Heap Allocation




Perm	Contents	Managed by	Initialized
RW	Procedure context	Compiler	Run-time


RW	Dynamic data structures	Programmer, malloc/free, new/GC	Run-time
RW	Global variables/ static data structures	Compiler/ Assembler/Linker	Startup
R	String literals	Compiler/ Assembler/Linker	Startup
X	Instructions	Compiler/ Assembler/Linker	Startup

Allocator basics

Pages too coarse-grained for allocating individual objects. Instead: flexible-sized, word-aligned blocks.



Example (64-bit words)



Allocator goals: malloc/free

1. Programmer does not decide locations of distinct objects.

Programmer decides: what size, when needed, when no longer needed

2. Fast allocation.

mallocs/second or bytes malloc'd/second

3. High memory utilization.


Most of heap contains necessary program data.

Little wasted space.

Enemy: **fragmentation** – unused memory that cannot be allocated.

Internal fragmentation


payload smaller than block



Causes
metadata
alignment
policy decisions

External fragmentation (64-bit words)

Total free space large enough, but no contiguous free block large enough



Depends on the pattern of future requests.

Implementation issues

1. Determine how much to free given just a pointer.

2. Keep track of free blocks.

3. Pick a block to allocate.


4. Choose what do with extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block used.

5. Make a freed block available for future reuse.

Knowing how much to free


Keep length of block in *header* word preceding block

Takes extra space!




Keeping track of free blocks





Method 2: Explicit free list of free blocks using pointers




Method 3: Seglist


Different free lists for different size blocks

More methods that we will skip...

Implicit free list: block format



Implicit free list: heap layout



Implicit free list: finding a free block

First fit:

Search list from beginning, choose *first* free block that fits


Next fit:

Do first-fit starting where previous search finished

Best fit:


Search the list, choose the **best** free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over

Implicit free list: allocating a free block




p = malloc(24);

Allocated space ≤ free space. Use it all? Split it up?




Block **Splitting**

Implicit free list: freeing an allocated block



free(p);

Clear allocated flag.




malloc(40);



External fragmentation!


Enough space, not one block.

Coalescing free blocks





free (p)

Coalesce with following free block.




Bidirectional coalescing: boundary tags





Constant-time O(1) coalescing: 4 cases



Summary: implicit free lists

Implementation: simple

Allocate: O(blocks in heap)

Free: O(1)

Memory utilization: depends on placement policy


Not widely used in practice

some special purpose applications

Splitting, boundary tags, coalescing are general to all allocators.


Explicit free list: block format

Allocated block:




(same as implicit free list)

Free block:




Explicit list of *free* blocks rather than implicit list of *all* blocks.

Explicit free list: **list vs. memory order**




Concretely: free list blocks in any memory order



Explicit free list: allocating a free block





Explicit free list: freeing a block

Insertion policy: Where in the free list do you add a freed block?

LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy

Pro: simple and constant time

Con: studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered


Address-ordered policy

Con: linear-time search to insert freed blocks


Pro: studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO

LIFO Example: 4 cases of freed block neighbor status.


Freeing with LIFO policy: between allocated blocks




Insert the freed block at head of free list.




Freeing with LIFO policy: between free and allocated




Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the head of the free list.




Freeing with LIFO policy: between allocated and free




Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the head of the free list.



Freeing with LIFO policy: between free blocks



Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the head of the list.



Summary: Explicit Free Lists

Implementation: fairly simple

Allocate: O(*free* blocks) vs. O(*all* blocks)

Free: O(1) vs. O(1)

Memory utilization:


depends on placement policy larger minimum block size (next/prev) vs. implicit list

Used widely in practice, often with more optimizations.

Splitting, boundary tags, coalescing are general to all allocators.

Seglist allocators

Each size bracket has its own free list



Faster best-fit allocation...

Summary: allocator policies

All policies offer trade-offs in fragmentation and throughput.

Placement policy:

First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.

Seglists approximate best-fit in low time

Splitting policy:

Always? Sometimes? Size bound?


Coalescing policy:

Immediate vs. deferred

Improved block format

Allocated block: Free block:

block size p 1
payload



Minimum block size?

- Implicit free list
- Explicit free list

Update headers of 2 blocks on each malloc/free.

payload

block size 1 0
next pointer
prev pointer

block size
block size 0 1
payload
block size 1 1

payload

Dyn 32