



Structures, Signatures, and Abstract Types

<https://cs.wellesley.edu/~cs251/f19/>

Abstract Types 1

Topics

Hiding implementation details is the most important strategy for writing correct, robust, reusable software.

- ML structures and signatures.
- Abstraction for robust library and client+library code.
- Abstraction for easy change.
- ADTs and functions as data.

Abstract Types 2

Hiding with functions

procedural abstraction

Can you tell the difference?

```
- double 4;  
val it : int = 8
```

```
fun double x = x*2  
fun double x = x+x  
val y = 2  
fun double x = x*y  
fun double x =  
  let fun help 0 y = y  
      | help x y =  
          help (x-1) (y+1)  
      in help x x end
```

"Private", but can't be shared among functions.

Abstract Types 4

structure (*module*)

namespace management and code organization

```
structure Name =  
struct bindings end
```

```
structure MyMathLib =  
struct  
  fun fact 0 = 1  
    | fact x = x * fact (x-1)  
  
  val half_pi = Math.pi / 2  
  
  fun doubler x = x * 2  
end
```

outside:

```
val facts = List.map MyMathLib.fact [1,3,5,7,9]
```

Abstract Types 5

signature

type for a structure (module)

List of bindings and their types:

variables, type synonyms, datatypes, exceptions

```
signature MATHLIB =
sig
  val fact      : int -> int
  val half_pi   : real
  val doubler   : int -> int
end
```

Abstract Types 6

```
signature NAME =
sig binding-types end
```

ascription

(opaque – will ignore other kinds)

Structure must have all bindings with types as declared in signature.

```
signature MATHLIB =
sig
  val fact      : int -> int
  val half_pi   : real
  val doubler   : int -> int
end

structure MyMathLib :> MATHLIB =
struct
  fun fact 0 = 1
    | fact x = x * fact (x-1)
  val half_pi = Math.pi / 2
  fun doubler x = x * 2
end
```

Real power:
Abstraction and Hiding

Abstract Types 7

Hiding with signatures

MyMathLib.doubler is unbound (not in environment)
outside module.

```
signature MATHLIB2 =
sig
  val fact      : int -> int
  val half_pi   : real
end

structure MyMathLib2 :> MATHLIB2 =
struct
  fun fact 0 = 1
    | fact x = x * fact (x-1)
  val half_pi = Math.pi / 2.0
  fun doubler x = x * 2
end
```

Abstract Types 8

Abstract Data Type

type of data and operations on it

Example: rational numbers supporting add and toString

```
structure Rational =
struct
  datatype rational = Whole of int
                  | Frac of int*int

  exception BadFrac

  (* see adts.ml for full code *)

  fun make_frac (x,y) = ...
  fun add (r1,r2) = ...
  fun toString r = ...
end
```

Abstract Types 9

Library spec and invariants

External properties *[externally visible guarantees, up to library writer]*

- Disallow 0 denominators
- Return strings in reduced form
("4" not "4/1", "3/2" not "9/6")
- No infinite loops or exceptions

Implementation invariants *[not in external specification]*

- All denominators > 0
- All `rational` values returned from functions are reduced

Signatures help **enforce** internal invariants.

More on invariants

Our code maintains (and relies) on invariants.

Maintain:

- `make_frac` disallows 0 denominator, removes negative denominator, and reduces result
- `add` assumes invariants on inputs, calls `reduce` if needed

Rely:

- `gcd` assumes its arguments are non-negative
- `add` uses math properties to avoid calling `reduce`
- `toString` assumes its argument is in reduced form

A first signature

Helper functions `gcd` and `reduce` not visible outside module.

```
signature RATIONAL_OPEN =  
sig  
  datatype rational = Whole of int  
    | Frac of int*int  
  exception BadFrac  
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational  
  val add       : rational * rational -> rational  
  val toString  : rational -> string  
end  
structure Rational :> RATIONAL_OPEN = ...
```

Attempt #1

Problem: clients can violate invariants

Create values of type `Rational.rational` directly.

```
signature RATIONAL_OPEN =  
sig  
  datatype rational = Whole of int  
    | Frac of int*int  
  ...  
end
```

```
Rational.Frac(1,0)  
Rational.Frac(3,-2)  
Rational.Frac(9,6)
```

Solution: hide more!

ADT must hide concrete type definition so clients cannot create invariant-violating values of type.

```
signature RATIONAL_WRONG =  
sig  
  exception BadFrac  
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational  
  val add : rational * rational -> rational  
  val toString : rational -> string  
end  
  
structure Rational :> RATIONAL_WRONG = ...
```

Attempt #2

Too far: type `rational` is not known to exist!

Abstract Types 14

Abstract the type! *(Really Big Deal!)*

Type `rational` exists,
but representation *absolutely* hidden.

Client can pass them around, but can
manipulate them only through module.

```
signature RATIONAL =  
sig  
  type rational  
  exception BadFrac  
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational  
  val add : rational * rational -> rational  
  val toString : rational -> string  
end  
  
structure Rational :> RATIONAL = ...
```

Success! (#3)

Only operations
on `rational`.

Only way to make 1st `rational`.

Module controls all operations with `rational`,
so client cannot violate invariants.

Abstract Types 15

Abstract Data Type

Abstract type of data + operations on it

Outside of implementation:

- Values of type `rational` can be
created and manipulated only through ADT operations.
- Concrete representation of values of type `rational`
is *absolutely* hidden.

```
signature RATIONAL =  
sig  
  type rational  
  exception BadFrac  
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational  
  val add : rational * rational -> rational  
  val toString : rational -> string  
end  
  
structure Rational :> RATIONAL = ...
```

Abstract Types 16

Abstract Data Types: two key tools

Powerful ways to use signatures for hiding:

1. Deny bindings exist.

Especially val bindings, fun bindings, constructors.

2. Make types abstract.

Clients cannot create or inspect values of the type directly.

Abstract Types 17

A cute twist

Exposing the `Whole` constructor is no problem.

Expose it as a function:

- Still hiding the rest of the datatype
- Still does not allow using `Whole` as a pattern

```
signature RATIONAL_WHOLE =
sig
  type rational
  exception BadFrac
  val Whole      : int -> rational
  val make_frac  : int * int -> rational
  val add        : rational * rational -> rational
  val toString   : rational -> string
end
```

Abstract Types 18

Signature matching rules

`structure Struct :> SIG` type-checks if and only if **all** of the following hold:

1. Every non-abstract type in `SIG` is provided in `Struct`, as specified
2. Every abstract type in `SIG` is provided in `Struct` in some way
3. Every val-binding in `SIG` is provided in `Struct`, possibly with a *more general* and/or *less abstract* internal type
4. Every exception in `SIG` is provided in `Struct`.

`Struct` can have more bindings (implicit in above rules)

Abstract Types 19

Allow *different implementations* to be *equivalent*

A key purpose of abstraction:

- No client can tell which you are using
- Can improve/replace/choose implementations later
- Easier with more abstract signatures (reveal only what you must)

`UnreducedRational` in `adts.sml`.

- Same concrete datatype.
- **Different invariant:** reduce fractions only in `toString`.
- Equivalent under `RATIONAL` and `RATIONAL_WHOLE`, but not under `RATIONAL_OPEN`.

`PairRational` in `adts.sml`.

- **Different concrete datatype.**
- Equivalent under `RATIONAL` and `RATIONAL_WHOLE`, but cannot ascribe `RATIONAL_OPEN`.

Abstract Types 20

PairRational (alternative concrete type)

```
structure PairRational =
struct
  type rational = int * int
  exception BadFrac

  fun make_frac (x,y) = ...
  fun Whole i = (i,1) (* for RATIONAL_WHOLE *)
  fun add ((a,b)(c,d)) = (a*d + b*c, b*d)
  fun toString r = ... (* reduce at last minute *)
end
```

Abstract Types 21

Some interesting details

make_frac

Internally: `int * int -> int * int`

Externally: `int * int -> rational`

- Client cannot tell if we return argument unchanged

Whole

Internally: `'a -> 'a * int`

Externally: `int -> rational`

- Specialize 'a to int
- abstract `int * int` to `rational`
- Type-checker just figures it out

Cannot have types

`'a -> int * int`

`'a -> rational`

Cannot mix and match module bindings

Modules with the *same signatures* define *different types*.

These do not type-check:

```
Rational.toString(UnreducedRational.make_frac(9,6))
```

```
PairRational.toString(UnreducedRational.make_frac(9,6))
```

Crucial for type system and module properties:

- Different modules have different internal invariants!
- ... and different type definitions:
- `UnreducedRational.rational` looks like `Rational.rational`, but clients and type-checker do not know
- `PairRational.rational` is `int*int` not a datatype!

Later: contrast with Object-Oriented techniques.

Set ADT (set.sml)

```
signature SET =  
sig  
  type 'a t  
  val empty      : 'a t  
  val singleton  : 'a -> 'a t  
  val fromList   : 'a list -> 'a t  
  val toList     : 'a t -> 'a list  
  val fromPred   : ('a -> bool) -> 'a t  
  val toPred     : 'a t -> 'a -> bool  
  val toString   : ('a -> string) -> 'a t -> string  
  val isEmpty    : 'a t -> bool  
  val member     : 'a -> 'a t -> bool  
  val insert     : 'a -> 'a t -> 'a t  
  val delete     : 'a -> 'a t -> 'a t  
  val union      : 'a t -> 'a t -> 'a t  
  val intersect  : 'a t -> 'a t -> 'a t  
  val diff       : 'a t -> 'a t -> 'a t  
end
```

Common idiom: if module provides one externally visible type, name it `t`. Then outside references are `Set.t`.

Implementing the SET signature

ListSet structure

Represent sets as lists.

Invariants?

- Duplicates?
- Ordering?

FunSet structure

Represent sets as function closures (!!!)

Sets are fun!

Math: $\{ x \mid x \bmod 3 = 0 \}$

SML: `fn x => x mod 3 = 0`

```
structure FunSet :> SET =  
struct  
  type 'a t = 'a -> bool  
  val empty = fn _ => false  
  fun singleton x = fn y => x=y  
  fun member x set = set x  
  fun insert x set = fn y => x=y orelse set y  
  ...  
end
```

Are all set operations possible?