

CS 251 Fall 2019 **Principles of Programming Languages** Ben Wood



Parallelism

(and Concurrency)

https://cs.wellesley.edu/~cs251/f19/

Parallelism and Concurrency in 251

- Goal: encounter
 - essence, key concerns
 - non-sequential thinking
 - some high-level models
 - some mid-to-high-level mechanisms
- Non-goals:
 - performance engineering / measurement
 - deep programming proficiency
 - exhaustive survey of models and mechanisms


Eliminate 1 big assumption: Evaluation happens as a sequence of ordered steps.

Parallelism

Use more resources to complete work faster.

Concurrency

Coordinate access to shared resources.



data = resources

Both can be expressed using a variety of primities.

Manticore

Parallelism via Manticore

- Extends SML with language features for parallelism/concurrency.
- Mix research vehicle / established models.
- Parallelism patterns:
 - data parallelism:
 - parallel arrays
 - parallel tuples
 - task parallelism:
 - parallel bindings
 - parallel case expressions
- Unifying model:
 - futures / tasks
- Mechanism:
 - work-stealing

Parallel Arrays: 'a parray

[*e1*, *e2*, ..., *en*] literal parray

[elo to ehi by estep] integer ranges

[e x in elems] parallel mapping comprehensions

[| e | x in elems where pred |] parallel filtering comprehensions

parallel array comprehensions


[| e1 | x in e2 |]

Evaluation rule:

- 1. Under the current environment, *E*, evaluate *e2* to a parray *v2*.
- For each element *vi* in *v2*, *with no constraint* on relative timing order:
 - 1. Create new environment $Ei = x \mapsto vi$, E.
 - 2. Under environment *Ei*, evaluate *e1* to a value *vi* '
- 3. The result is [**v1'**, **v2'**, ..., **vn'**]

Data Parallelism

many argument data of same type



many result data of same type

Parallel Map / Filter

fun mapP f xs = [| f x | x in xs |]

: ('a -> 'b) -> 'a parray -> 'b parray

fun filterP p xs =
 [| x | x in xs where p x |]


: ('a -> bool) -> 'a parray -> 'a parray

Parallel Reduce

fun reduceP f init xs = ...

: (('a * 'a) -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a parray -> 'a


sibling of fold f must be *associative*




Task Parallelism

parallelize application of different operations within larger computation

some ordering/interdependence controlled explicitly





Parallel Cases



Futures: unifying model for Manticore parallel features

```
signature FUTURE =
siq
  type 'a future
  (* Produce a future for a thunk.
     Like Promise.delay. *)
  val future : (unit -> 'a) -> 'a future
  (* Wait for the future to complete and return the result.
     Like Promise.force. *)
  val touch : 'a future -> 'a
  (* More advanced features. *)
 datatype 'a result = VAL of 'a | EXN of exn
  (* Check if the future is complete and get result if so. *)
 val poll : 'a future -> 'a result option
  (* Stop work on a future that won't be needed. *)
 val cancel : 'a future -> unit
                                                          Parallelism 14
end
```





pval as future sugar


let pval x = e
in ... x ... end

let val x = future (fn () => e)in ... (touch x) ... end

*a bit more: implicitly cancel an untouched future once it becomes clear it won't be touched.

Parray ops as futures: rough idea 1


Suppose we represent parrays as lists* of elements:



*not the actual implementation

Parray ops as futures: rough idea 2

Suppose we represent parrays as lists* of element futures:



*not the actual implementation

Odds and ends

- pcase: not just future sugar
 - Choice is a distinct primitive* not offered by futures alone.
- Where do execution resources from futures come from? How are they managed?
- Tasks vs futures:
 - function calls vs. val bindings.
- Forward to concurrency and events...

*at least when implemented well.