

# ML Modules and Abstract Data Types

**Hiding implementation details** is the most important strategy for writing correct, robust, reusable software.

Topics:

- ML structures and signatures.
- Abstraction for robust library and client-library code.
- Abstraction for easy change.
- ADTs and functions as data.

2

## Hiding with functions

*procedural abstraction*

Hiding implementation details is the most important strategy for writing correct, robust, reusable software.

Can you tell the difference?

```
- double 4;
val it : int = 8
```

```
fun double x = x*2
fun double x = x+x
val y = 2
fun double x = x*y
fun double x =
  let fun help 0 y = y
      | help x y =
        help (x-1) (y+1)
  in help x x end
```

"Private" *top-level* functions would also be nice...

- share a "private" helper function

3

## structure (*module*)

namespace management and code organization

```
structure MyMathLib =
struct
  fun fact 0 = 1
    | fact x = x * fact (x-1)

  val half_pi = Math.pi / 2

  fun doubler x = x * 2
end
```

outside:

```
val facts = List.map MyMathLib.fact [1,3,5,7,9]
```

adapted from slides by Dan Grossman

4

## signature

type for a structure (module)

List of bindings and their types:

variables (incl. functions), type synonyms, datatypes, exceptions

Separate from specific structure.

```
signature MATHLIB =
sig
  val fact      : int -> int
  val half_pi  : real
  val doubler   : int -> int
end
```

5

```
structure Name :> NAME =
struct bindings end
```

## ascription

(opaque – will ignore other kinds)

Ascribing a signature to a structure

- Structure must have all bindings with types as declared in signature.

```
signature MATHLIB =
sig
  val fact      : int -> int
  val half_pi   : real
  val doubler   : int -> int
end

structure MyMathLib :> MATHLIB =
struct
  fun fact 0 = 1
    | fact x = x * fact (x-1)
  val half_pi = Math.pi / 2
  fun doubler x = x * 2
end
```

Real power:  
Abstraction and Hiding

6

## Hiding with signatures

`MyMathLib.doubler` unbound (not in environment) outside module.

```
signature MATHLIB2 =
sig
  val fact      : int -> int
  val half_pi   : real
end

structure MyMathLib2 :> MATHLIB2 =
struct
  fun fact 0 = 1
    | fact x = x * fact (x-1)
  val half_pi = Math.pi / 2.0
  fun doubler x = x * 2
end
```

7

## Abstract Data Type

type of data and operations on it

Example: rational numbers supporting `add` and `toString`

```
structure Rational =
struct
  datatype rational = Whole of int
                  | Frac of int*int
  exception BadFrac
  (* see adts.ml for full code *)
  fun make_frac (x,y) = ...
  fun add (r1,r2) = ...
  fun toString r = ...
end
```

8

## Library spec and invariants

External properties [*externally visible guarantees, up to library writer*]

- Disallow denominators of 0
- Return strings in reduced form ("4" not "4/1", "3/2" not "9/6")
- No infinite loops or exceptions

Implementation invariants [*not in external specification*]

- All denominators > 0
- All `rational` values returned from functions are reduced

Signatures help **enforce** internal invariants.

9

## More on invariants

Our code maintains (and relies) on invariants.

Maintain:

- `make_frac` disallows 0 denominator, removes negative denominator, and reduces result
- `add` assumes invariants on inputs, calls `reduce` if needed

Rely:

- `gcd` assumes its arguments are non-negative
- `add` uses math properties to avoid calling `reduce`
- `toString` assumes its argument is in reduced form

10

## A first signature

With what we know so far, this signature makes sense:

- Helper functions `gcd` and `reduce` not visible outside the module.

```
signature RATIONAL_OPEN =
sig
  datatype rational = Whole of int
                    | Frac of int*int
  exception BadFrac
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational
  val add       : rational * rational -> rational
  val toString  : rational -> string
end
structure Rational :> RATIONAL_OPEN = ...
```

Attempt #1

11

## Problem: clients can violate invariants

Create values of type `Rational.rational` directly.

```
signature RATIONAL_OPEN =
sig
  datatype rational = Whole of int
                    | Frac of int*int
  ...
end
```

```
Rational.Frac(1,0)
Rational.Frac(3,~2)
Rational.Frac(9,6)
```

12

## Solution: hide more!

*ADT must hide concrete type definition so clients cannot create invariant-violating values of type directly.*

This attempt goes too far: type `rational` is not known to exist

```
signature RATIONAL_WRONG =
sig
  exception BadFrac
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational
  val add       : rational * rational -> rational
  val toString  : rational -> string
end
structure Rational :> RATIONAL_WRONG = ...
```

Attempt #2

13

## Abstract the type! *(Really Big Deal!)*

Type `rational` exists, but representation *absolutely* hidden.

Client can pass them around, but can manipulate them only through module.

Only operations on `rational`.

```
signature RATIONAL =
sig
  type rational
  exception BadFrac
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational
  val add : rational * rational -> rational
  val toString : rational -> string
end
structure Rational :> RATIONAL = ...
```

Success! (#3)

Only way to make 1<sup>st</sup> `rational`.

Module controls all operations with `rational`, so client cannot violate invariants.

14

## Abstract Data Type

*Abstract type of data + operations on it*

Outside of implementation:

- Values of type `rational` can be created and manipulated only through ADT operations.
- Concrete representation of values of type `rational` is *absolutely* hidden.

```
signature RATIONAL =
sig
  type rational
  exception BadFrac
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational
  val add : rational * rational -> rational
  val toString : rational -> string
end
structure Rational :> RATIONAL = ...
```

15

## Abstract Data Types: two key tools

Powerful ways to use signatures for hiding:

1. Deny bindings exist.  
*Especially val bindings, fun bindings, constructors.*
2. Make types abstract.  
*Clients cannot create or inspect values of the type directly.*

16

## A cute twist

In our example, exposing the `Whole` constructor is no problem

In SML we can expose it as a function since the datatype binding in the module does create such a function

- Still hiding the rest of the datatype
- Still does not allow using `Whole` as a pattern

```
signature RATIONAL_WHOLE =
sig
  type rational
  exception BadFrac
  val Whole : int -> rational
  val make_frac : int * int -> rational
  val add : rational * rational -> rational
  val toString : rational -> string
end
```

17

## Signature matching rules

`structure Struct :> SIG` type-checks if and only if:

- Every non-abstract type in `SIG` is provided in `Struct`, as specified
- Every abstract type in `SIG` is provided in `Struct` in some way
  - Can be a datatype or a typesynonym
- Every val-binding in `SIG` is provided in `Struct`, possibly with a *more general and/or less abstract* internal type
  - `'a list -> int` more general than `string list -> int`
  - example soon
- Every exception in `SIG` is provided in `Struct`.

Of course `Struct` can have more bindings (implicit in above rules)

18

## Allow *different implementations* to be *equivalent*

A key purpose of abstraction:

- No client can tell which you are using
- Can improve/replace/choose implementations later
- Easier with more abstract signatures (reveal only what you must)

`UnreducedRational` in `adts.sml`.

- Same concrete datatype.
- **Different invariant:** reduce fractions only in `toString`.
- Equivalent under `RATIONAL` and `RATIONAL_WHOLE`, but not under `RATIONAL_OPEN`.

`PairRational` in `adts.sml`.

- **Different concrete datatype.**
- Equivalent under `RATIONAL` and `RATIONAL_WHOLE`, but cannot ascribe `RATIONAL_OPEN`.

19

## PairRational (alternate concrete type)

```
structure PairRational =
struct
  type rational = int * int
  exception BadFrac
  fun make_frac (x,y) = ...
  fun Whole i = (i,1) (* for RATIONAL_WHOLE *)
  fun add ((a,b)(c,d)) = (a*d + b*c, b*d)
  fun toString r = ... (* reduce at last minute *)
end
```

20

## Some interesting details

- Internally `make_frac` has type `int * int -> int * int`, externally `int * int -> rational`
  - Client cannot tell if we return argument unchanged
- Internally `Whole` has type `'a -> 'a * int` externally `int -> rational`
  - specialize `'a` to `int`
  - abstract `int * int` to `rational`
  - Type-checker just figures it out
- `Whole` cannot have types `'a -> int * int` or `'a -> rational` (must specialize all `'a` uses)

21

## Cannot mix and match module bindings

Modules with the *same signatures* still define *different types*

These do not type-check:

- `Rational.toString(UnreducedRational.make_frac(9,6))`
- `PairRational.toString(UnreducedRational.make_frac(9,6))`

Crucial for type system and module properties:

- Different modules have different internal invariants!
- ... and different type definitions:
  - `UnreducedRational.rational` looks like `Rational.rational`, but clients and the type-checker do not know that
  - `PairRational.rational` is `int*int` not a datatype!

Will return and contrast with Object-Oriented techniques.

22

## Set ADT (set.sml)

```
signature SET =
sig
  type 'a t
  val empty      : 'a t
  val singleton  : 'a -> 'a t
  val fromList   : 'a list -> 'a t
  val toList     : 'a t -> 'a list
  val fromPred   : ('a -> bool) -> 'a t
  val toPred     : 'a t -> 'a -> bool
  val toString   : ('a -> string) -> 'a t -> string
  val isEmpty    : 'a t -> bool
  val member     : 'a -> 'a t -> bool
  val insert     : 'a -> 'a t -> 'a t
  val delete     : 'a -> 'a t -> 'a t
  val union      : 'a t -> 'a t -> 'a t
  val intersect  : 'a t -> 'a t -> 'a t
  val diff       : 'a t -> 'a t -> 'a t
end
```

Common idiom: if module provides one externally visible type, name it `t`. Then outside references are `Set.t`.

## Implementing the SET signature

### ListSet structure

Represent sets as lists.

Invariants?

- Duplicates?
- Ordering?

### FunSet structure

Represent sets as function closures (!!!)

24

Sets are fun!

**Math:**  $\{ x \mid x \bmod 3 = 0 \}$

**SML:** `fn x => x mod 3 = 0`

```
structure FunSet :> SET =
sig
  type 'a t
  val empty = fn _ => false
  fun singleton x = fn y => x=y
  fun member x set = set x
  val insert x set = fn y => x=y orelse set y
  ...
end
```

Are all set operations possible?

25