

Tuples, Records, Algebraic Data Types, Pattern Matching, Lists

most slides due to Dan Grossman

1

Feels like cons, but more restricted.

Pairs (2-tuples)

Need a way to *build* pairs and a way to *access* the pieces

Build:

- Syntax: (e_1, e_2)
- Evaluation: Evaluate e_1 to v_1 and e_2 to v_2 ; result is (v_1, v_2)
 - A pair of values is a value
- Type-check:
 - If e_1 has type τ_a and e_2 has type τ_b , then the pair expression has type $\tau_a * \tau_b$
 - A new kind of type

2

Feels like car, cdr.

Pairs (2-tuples)

Need a way to *build* pairs and a way to *access* the pieces

Access

- Syntax: $\#1\ e$ $\#2\ e$
- Type-check: If e has type $\tau_a * \tau_b$, then $\#1\ e$ has type τ_a and $\#2\ e$ has type τ_b
- Evaluation:
 - Evaluate e to a pair of values v_1 and v_2 in the current dynamic environment
 - Return v_1 if using $\#1$; return v_2 if using $\#2$.

3

Pairs (2-tuples)

Need a way to *build* pairs and a way to *access* the pieces

Access via a new form of binding (better style)

- Syntax: $val\ (x_1, x_2) = e$
- Type-checking: If e has type $\tau_a * \tau_b$, then x_1 has type τ_a and x_2 has type τ_b
- Evaluation:
 - Evaluate e to a pair of values v_1 and v_2 in the current dynamic environment
 - Extend the current dynamic environment by binding x_1 to v_1 and x_2 to v_2 .

4

Examples

Functions can take and return pairs

```
fun swap (pr : int*bool) =
  let val (x,y) = pr in (y,x) end

fun sum_two_pairs (pr1 : int*int, pr2 : int*int) =
  let val (x1,y1) = pr1
        val (x2,y2) = pr2
  in x1 + y1 + x2 + y2 end

fun div_mod (x : int, y : int) =
  (x div y, x mod y)

fun sort_pair (pr : int*int) =
  let val (x,y) = pr
  in
    if x < y then pr else (y,x)
  end
```

5

Tuples

Actually, you can have *tuples* with more than two parts

- A new feature: a generalization of pairs

- (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)
- $t_a * t_b * \dots * t_n$
- #1 e, #2 e, #3 e, ...
- $\text{val } (x_1, \dots, x_n) = e$

These really are flat n-tuples, not nested pairs.

6

Nesting

Pairs and tuples can be nested however you want

- Not a new feature: implied by the syntax and semantics

```
val x1 = (7, (true, 9)) (* int * (bool*int) *)
val x2 = #1 (#2 x1)    (* bool *)
val x3 = (#2 x1)      (* bool*int *)
val x4 = ((3,5), ((4,8), (0,0)))
           (* (int*int)*((int*int)*(int*int)) *)
```

7

Lists

Let's try to add lists to ML. Racket does this with pairs, e.g.:

```
(cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 null)))
```

ML has a "no value" value written `()`, pronounced "unit," with type `unit`

So let's try: `(1, (2, (3, ())))`

What is the type of this expression?

What is the type of: `(1, (2, (3, (4, ()))))` ?

Why is this a problem?

8

Lists

Despite nested tuples, the type of an expression still “commits” to a particular fixed “amount” of data.

In contrast, a list:

- Can have any number of elements
- But all list elements have the same type

We need a new tool to build lists in ML.

9

How to build bigger types

- Already know:
 - *Base types* like `int bool unit char`
 - Ways to build (nested) *compound types*: tuples
- Today: more interesting **compound types**
- First: 3 most important type building blocks in *any* language
 - **Product types (“Each of”)**:
A `t` value contains *values of each of* `t1 t2 ... tn`
A `t` value contains *a* `t1` **and** *a* `t2` **and** *a* ... **and** *a* `tn`
 - **Sum types (“One of”)**:
A `t` value contains *values of one of* `t1 t2 ... tn`
A `t` value is `t1` **xor** `t2` **xor** `a ... xor` `tn`
 - **Recursive types (“Self reference”)**: A `t` value can refer to other `t` values
- Remarkable: much data can be described by just these building blocks

Note: versions in “quotes” are not widely used terms.

10

Records

Record values have fields (any name) holding values

```
{f1 = v1, ..., fn = vn}
```

Record types have fields (any name) holding types

```
{f1 : t1, ..., fn : tn}
```

The order of fields in a record value or type never matters

- REPL alphabetizes fields just for consistency

Building records: `{f1 = e1, ..., fn = en}`

Accessing components: `#myfieldname e`

(Evaluation rules and type-checking as expected)

13

Example

```
{name = "Wendy", id = 41123 - 12}
```

Has type

```
{id : int, name : string}
```

And evaluates to

```
{id = 41111, name = "Wendy"}
```

If some expression such as a variable `x` has this type, then get fields with:

```
#id x #name x
```

Note we did not have to declare any record types

- The same program could also make a
`{id=true, ego=false}` of type `{id:bool, ego:bool}`

14

By position vs. by name

(*structural/positional*) (*nominal*)

`(4, 7, 9)` `{f=4, g=7, h=9}`

Common syntax decision:

- parts *by position* (as in tuples) or *by name* (as with records)
- Concise vs. clear.
- Taste, practicality, etc.

Common hybrid: function/method arguments:

- Caller: *positional*
- Callee: *nominal*
- Could totally do it differently; some languages have

15

Tuples are sugar

`(e1, ..., en)` desugars to `{1=e1, ..., n=en}`

`t1*...*tn` desugars to `{1:t1, ..., n:tn}`

Records with contiguous fields 1...n printed like tuples

Can write `{1=4, 2=7, 3=9}`, bad style

16

Datatype bindings

Sum/one-of types:

```
datatype mytype = TwoInts of int * int
                | Str of string
                | Pizza
```

Algebraic Data Type

- Adds new type `mytype` to environment
- Adds *constructors* to environment: `TwoInts`, `Str`, `Pizza`
- Constructor: function that makes values of new type (or is a value of new type):
 - `TwoInts : int * int -> mytype`
 - `Str : string -> mytype`
 - `Pizza : mytype`

17

Constructing values

```
datatype mytype = TwoInts of int * int
                | Str of string
                | Pizza
```

- Each value of type `mytype` came from *one of* the constructors
- Value contains:
 - Tag: which constructor (e.g., `TwoInts`)
 - Carried data (e.g., `(7, 9)`)
- Examples:
 - `TwoInts (3+4, 5+4)` evaluates to `TwoInts (7, 9)`
 - `Str if true then "hi" else "bye"` evaluates to `Str "hi"`
 - `Pizza` is a value

18

Using values

Two aspects to accessing a datatype value

1. Check what *variant* it is (what constructor made it)
2. Extract carried *data* (if that variant has any)

ML *could* create functions to get parts of datatype values

- Like to *pair?* or *cdr* in Racket
- Instead it does something better... ***totally awesomely better.***

19

Pattern matching



Case expression and **pattern-matching**

```
fun f x = (* f has type mytype -> int *)
  case x of
    Pizza => 3
  | TwoInts (i1,i2) => i1+i2
  | Str s => String.size s
```

All-in-one:

- Multi-branch conditional, picks branch based on variant.
- Extracts data and binds to branch-local variables.
- Type-check: all branches must have same type.
- Gets even better later.

20

Pattern matching

Syntax:

```
case e0 of
  p1 => e1
| p2 => e2
...
| pn => en
```

- (For now), each pattern ***pi*** is:
 - a constructor name followed by the right number of variables:
 - **C** or **D x** or **E (x,y)** or ...
- Syntactically, many patterns look like expressions, but **patterns are not expressions.**
 - We do not evaluate them.
 - We match **e0** against their structure.
- Precise type-checking/evaluation rules later...

21

Why pattern-matching rocks



1. Cannot forget a case (inexhaustive pattern-match warning)
2. Cannot duplicate a case (redundant pattern type-checking error)
3. Cannot forget to test the variant correctly and get an error (**(car null)** in Racket)
4. It's much more general. Supports elegant, concise code.

22

Useful examples

- Enumerations, including carrying other data

```
datatype suit = Club | Diamond | Heart | Spade
datatype card_value = Jack | Queen | King
                  | Ace | Num of int
```

- Alternate ways of identifying real-world things/people

```
datatype id = StudentNum of int
           | Name of string
           * (string option)
           * string
```

23

Don't do this!

Languages lacking convenient sum/one-of types foster *bad style* where product/each-of types are misused in place of sum/one-of types:

```
(* use the student_num and ignore other
   fields unless the student_num is ~1 *)
{ student_num : int,
  first       : string,
  middle      : string option,
  last        : string }
```

- Unclear. No help from the language managing/remembering variants.

24

That said...

But if instead the point is that every “person” in your program has a name and maybe a student number, then each-of is the way to go:

```
{ student_num : int option,
  first       : string,
  middle      : string option,
  last        : string }
```

25

Lists!

A list is either:

- The empty list; or
- A pair of a list element and a list that holds the rest of the list.

Algebraic data types are *just* what we need for lists!

```
datatype mylist = Empty | Cons of int * mylist
```

datatypes can be recursive

```
val some_ints = Cons (1, Cons (2, Cons (3, Empty)))
```

26

Accessing Lists

```
val some_ints = Cons (1, Cons (2, Cons (3, Empty)))
```

```
fun length (xs : mylist) =
  case xs of
  | Empty => 0
  | Cons (x,xs') => 1 + length xs'
```

```
fun sum (xs : mylist) =
  case xs of
  | Empty => 0
  | Cons (x,xs') => x + sum xs'
```

27

Syntactic sugar for lists: build

Lists are important enough for their own syntax.

- The empty list is a value: `[]`
- A list of expressions/values is an expression/value; elements separated by commas:

`[e1,e2,...,en]` `[v1,v2,...,vn]`
- If `e1` evaluates to `v` and `e2` evaluates to a list `[v1,...,vn]`, then `e1::e2` evaluates to `[v,...,vn]`

```
e1::e2 (* pronounced "cons" *)
```

28

Syntactic sugar for lists: access

- With pattern-matching, of course.

```
val some_ints = [1,2,3]
```

note the space between int and list

```
fun length (xs : int list) =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | x::xs' => 1 + length xs'
```

```
fun sum (xs : int list) =
  case xs of
  [] => 0
  | x::xs' => x + sum xs'
```

29

Type-checking list operations

For any type `t`, type `t list` describes lists with all elements of type `t`

- `int list bool list int list list (int * int) list (int list * int) list ...`
- `[]` can have type `t list` for *any* type
 - SML uses type `'a list` to indicate this ("quote a" or "alpha")
- `e1::e2` type-checks with type `t list` if and only if:
 - `e1` has type `t`; and
 - `e2` has type `t list`

More on 'a soon! (*Nothing to do with 'a in Racket.*)

30

Example list functions

(types?)

```

fun countdown (x : int) =
  if x=0
  then []
  else x :: countdown (x-1)

fun append (xs : int list, ys : int list) =
  case xs of
  [] => ys
  | x::xs' => x :: append (xs', ys)

fun rev (xs : int list) =
  let fun revtail (acc : int list, xs : int list) =
        case xs of
        [] => acc
        | x::xs' => revtail (x :: acc, xs')
      in
        revtail ([], xs)
      end

```

Example higher-order list functions

(type?)

```

fun map (f : int -> int, xs : int list) =
  case xs of
  [] => []
  | x::xs' => f x :: map (f, xs')

```

- But these examples only work on lists of ints.
- They should be more general: work on any list
 - and any function for map..

32

Polymorphic types and type inference

The identity function: `fun id (x : int) = x`
`val id : int -> int`

It should work on anything! Omit the type: `fun id x = x`
`val id : 'a -> 'a`

General!

- 'a is a **polymorphic type variable** that stands in for any type.
- "id takes an argument of any type and returns a result of that same type."

33

Polymorphic types and type inference

```
fun swap pr = let val (x,y) = pr in (y,x) end
```

```
val swap : ('a * 'b) -> ('b * 'a)
```

Works on *any* type of pair!

```
val pair = swap (4,"hello")
```

('a * 'b) is *more general than* (int * string).

Here, int *instantiates* 'a and string *instantiates* 'b.

34

Polymorphic datatypes

- Let's make lists that can hold elements of any one type.

```
datatype 'a mylist = Empty | Cons of 'a * 'a mylist
```

- A list of "alphas" is either:
 - the empty list; or
 - a pair of an "alpha" and a list of "alphas"

```
datatype 'a list = [] | :: of 'a * 'a list
```

- The type `int list` is an *instantiation* of the type `'a list`, where the type variable `'a` is *instantiated* with `int`.

35

Polymorphic list functions

(types?)

```
fun append (xs, ys) =
  case xs of
    [] => ys
  | x::xs' => x :: append (xs', ys)

fun rev (xs) =
  let fun revtail (acc : int list, xs : int list) =
        case xs of
          [] => acc
        | x::xs' => revtail (x :: acc, xs')
      in revtail [] xs end

fun map (f, xs) =
  case xs of
    [] => []
  | x::xs' => f x :: map (f, xs')
```

36

Polymorphic list functions

(type?)

```
fun map (f, xs) =
  case xs of
    [] => []
  | x::xs' => f x :: map (f, xs')
```

- Type inference system (more later) chooses most general type.
- Polymorphic types show up commonly with higher-order functions.
- Polymorphic function types often give you a good idea of what the function does.

37

Exceptions

An exception binding introduces a new kind of exception

```
exception MyFirstException
exception MySecondException of int * int
```

The `raise` primitive raises (a.k.a. throws) an exception

```
raise MyFirstException
raise (MySecondException (7,9))
```

A handle expression can handle (a.k.a. catch) an exception

- If doesn't match, exception continues to propagate

```
e1 handle MyFirstException => e2
e3 handle MyFirstException => e4
    | MySecondException (x,y) => e5
```

Actually...

Exceptions are a lot like datatype constructors...

- Declaring an exception adds a constructor for type `exn`
- Can pass values of `exn` anywhere (e.g., function arguments)
 - Not too common to do this but can be useful
- `handle` can have multiple branches with patterns for type `exn`, just like a `case` expression.
- **See examples in `exnopt.sml`**

39

Options

```
datatype 'a option = NONE | SOME of 'a
```

- `t option` is a type for any type `t`
 - (much like `t list`, but a different type, not a list)

Building:

- `NONE` has type `'a option` (much like `[]` has type `'a list`)
- `SOME e` has type `t option` if `e` has type `t` (much like `e :: []`)

Accessing:

- Pattern matching with case expression

Good style for functions that don't always have a meaningful result.

See examples in `exnopt.sml`

41

Parametric Polymorphism (again) and the power of what you cannot do.

- Type `'a` means "some type, but don't know what type"
- There is *no* way to "figure out" what type it actually is.
- No operation can distinguish between values of unknown type `'a`.
- Example: *What can a function of type `'a list -> int` do?*

```
fun f (xs : 'a list) : int = ...
```

- `'a -> 'a` ?

```
fun g (x : 'a) : 'a = ...
```

43

Special case of what should be more general feature..

Equality Types

So if we cannot inspect values of type `'a` in any way, how do we write a general `contains` function?

```
fun contains (xs : 'a list, x : 'a) : bool = ...
```

eqtypes (equality types):

Special category of types that support comparison.

Accompanying eqtype variables with double quotes

Mostly accurate:

```
= : ('a * 'b) -> bool
```

```
fun contains (xs : 'a list, x : 'a) : bool = ...
```