Control

Handout #41
CS251 Lecture 37
April 30, 2002

What is Control?

- In program execution, ***control*** refers to "where" the computation currently is.

- Control is characterized by two components:

    (1) the expression (or statement) currently being evaluated.
    - CS111: the red control dot.
    - CS240: the program counter.
    - CS251: the argument to `subst-eval` in the substitution model

    (2) The ***continuation*** = all the pending operations that need to be performed when the value of the expression currently being evaluated is returned.
    - CS111: the pending execution frames in the Java Execution Mode.
    - CS240: the stack of procedure call activation frames.
    - CS251: the surrounding expressions in the Scheme substitution model

    We will call the pair of (1) and (2) a ***control point***.

- All computation is an iteration through control points.

Control Point Example 1

```
        Expression                        Continuation
(/ (+ (* 6 5) (- 7 3)) 2)  top
(+ (* 6 5) (- 7 3))            ( (v1) (top (/ v1 2)))
(* 6 5)                       ( (v2) (top (/ (+ v2 (- 7 3)) 2)))
(- 7 3)                       ( (v3) (top (/ (+ 30 v3) 2)))
(+ 30 4)                      ( (v1) (top (/ v1 2)))
(/ 34 2)                      top
   17
```

Notes:

- Continuations are modeled as single-argument functions.

- **top** designates the top-level continuation

- The above assumes left-to-right evaluation of arguments
  (MIT Scheme evaluates them right-to-left.)

Control Point Example 2: Recursive Factorial

```
(define (fact-rec n)
  (if (= n 0)
       1
       (* n (fact-rec (- n 1)))))
```

```
 Expression                  Continuation
(fact-rec 3)      top
(fact-rec 2)      ( (v1) (top (* 3 v1)))
(fact-rec 1)      ( (v2) (top (* 3 (* 2 v2))))
(fact-rec 0)      ( (v3) (top (* 3 (* 2 (* 1 v3)))))
(* 1 1)           ( (v2) (top (* 3 (* 2 v2))))
(* 2 1)           ( (v1) (top (* 3 v1)))
(* 3 2)           top
   6
```

Note the stack-like nature of continuations.

Control Point Example 3: Iterative Factorial

```
(define (fact-iter n) (fact-tail n 1))

(define (fact-tail num ans)
  (if (= num 0)
      ans
      (fact-tail (- num 1) (* num ans)))))
```

Expression	*Continuation*
(fact-iter 3)	top
(fact-tail 3 1)	top
(fact-tail 2 3)	top
(fact-tail 1 6)	top
(fact-tail 0 6)	top
6	

Note: A function call is tail recursive if it does not alter continuation

---

Control Aspects of Familiar Constructs

- Evaluating nested subexpressions requires choosing an order and remembering what to do next.
  - Argument evaluation order is left-to-right in most language.
  - Evaluation order unspecified in Scheme (right-to-left in MIT Scheme).
- Sequencing of statements in imperative language.
- Conditionals allow branches in control flow.
- Loops/tail recursion specify iterations.
- Function/procedure call and return:
  - In many execution models (e.g., C, Pascal, Java), calling a procedure pushes an activation frame on the call stack and returning from a procedure pops the activation from from the call stack.
  - In properly tail-recursive languages (e.g. Scheme, most ML implementations) stack is pushed by subexpression evaluation and procedure calls act like "gotos that pass arguments" (see Guy Steele's, *"Debunking the Expensive Procedure Call Myth* or *Lambda: The Ultimate Goto.")*

Altering the Normal Flow of Control

Sometimes want to "break out" from the normal flow of control in a program:

- Want to immediately stop execution of the program, due to request from user (typing Control-C) or due to finding an error. E.g. Scheme's `error`; `halt` opcode in assembly language.

- Discover an answer "early" and want to return it immediately without processing all pending computations. E.g. encountering a zero when finding the product of a list or array.

- Encounter an unusual situation that may need to be handled differently in different contexts. E.g., division by zero, out-of-bounds array access, unbound variables in environment lookup.

- Altering the normal flow of control can be very convenient and efficient, but can also lead to "spaghetti code". Dijkstra's *"Goto Considered Harmful"* and the structured programming movement of the 1970s advocated control constructs with one control input and one control output.

Non-local Exits: Return

In C, C++, and Java, return can force "early" exit of a function/method.

Example (Java): calculating array product. Want to return early if encounter a zero. Also suppose that encountering any negative number should cause the result to be -1.

```java
public static int arrayProd (int[] a) {
  int prod = 1;
  for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    if (a[i] == 0)
        return 0; // Non-local exit from loop
    else if (a[i] < 0) then
        return -1; // Non-local exit from loop
    else
        prod = a[i] * prod;
  }
  return prod;
}
```

Non-local Exits: Break

Java has labeled **break** statements for breaking out of a loop.

```java
public static int sumArrayProds (int[][] a) {
  int sum = 0;
  outer:for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    int prod = 1;
    inner:for (int j = 0; i < a[i].length; j++) {
      if (a[i][j] < 0)
        break outer; // Return current sum on negative num
      else if (a[i][j] == 0) {
        prod = 0; break inner;
        // Alternatively: continue outer;
      else
        prod = a[i][j] * prod;}
    sum = sum + prod;}
  return sum;}
```

- Java's labeled **continue** statement jumps to end of specified loop.
- C's unlabeled **break** and **continue** that work on innermost enclosing loop.

Non-Local Exits: Goto

In Pascal, can only express non-local exits via **goto**:

```pascal
function product (outer_lst: intlist): integer;
  label 17; {labels are denoted by numbers 0 to 9999}
  function inner (lst: intlist): integer;
   begin
     if lst = nil then
      inner := 1
     else if lst^.head = 0 then
      begin
       product := 0; {Sets return value of function}
       goto 17; {Control jumps to label 17}
      end;
     else
      inner := lst^.head * inner(lst^.tail)
   end;
 begin
    product := inner (outer_lst);
    17:
 end;
```

Non-Local Exits: Label and Jump

We will study non-local exits in Scheme by extending it with the following label
and jump constructs:

```
(label I E)
```
Evaluates *E* in a lexical environment in which the name *I* is bound to a first-class
control point that represents the continuation of the entire `label` expression.

```
(jump E1 E2)
```
Returns the value of *E2* to the control point that is the value of *E1*.

`jump` signals an error if *E1* is not a control point.

Label and Jump: Simple Examples

```
(+ 1 (label exit (* 2 (- 3 (/ 4 1)))))


(+ 1 (label exit (* 2 (- 3 (/ 4 (jump exit 5))))))


(+ 1 (label exit
        (* 2 (- 3 (/ 4 (jump exit (+ 5 (jump exit 6))))))))


(+ 1 (label exit1
        (* 2 (label exit2
                (- 3 (/ 4 (+ (jump exit2 5)
                             (jump exit1 6))))))))
```

Label and Jump: List Product

```scheme
(define product
  (lambda (outer-list)
    (label return
      (letrec ((inner (lambda (lst)
                        (if (null? lst)
                            1
                            (if (= (car lst) 0)
                                (jump return 0)
                                (* (car lst)
                                   (inner (cdr lst))))))))
        (inner outer-list)))))
```

Label and Jump: List Product Alternative

```scheme
(define product
  (lambda (outer-list)
    (label return
      (foldr (lambda (x ans)
               (if (= x 0)
                   (jump return 0)
                   (* x ans)))
             1
             outer-list))))
```

Control Points Introduced by `label` are First-Class

```scheme
(define fact
  (lambda (n)
    (let ((loop 'later) ; don't care about initial value
          (ans 1))
      (begin
        (label top (set! loop (lambda () (jump top 'ignore))))
        (if (= n 0)
            ans
            (begin
              (set! ans (* n ans))
              (set! n (- n 1))
              (loop)))))))
```

First-class Control Points can do Strange and Wondrous Things!

```scheme
(let ((g (lambda (x) x)))
  (letrec ((fact (lambda (n)
                   (if (= n 0)
                       (label base
                         (begin
                           (set! g (lambda (y)
                                     (begin
                                       (set! g (lambda (z) z))
                                       (jump base y))))
                           1))
                       (* n (fact (- n 1)))))))
    (+ (g 10)
       (+ (fact 3) ; Cont. = (lambda (v) (+ 10 (+ v (+ …)))
          (+ (g 10)
             (+ (fact 4) ;Cont. = (abs (v) (+ 10 (+ 60 (+ 10 (+ v …)))))
                (g 10)))))))
```

Scheme's `call-with-current-continuation`

Off-the-shelf Scheme does not support `label` and `jump`. But it does support
  `call-with-current-continuation`, which can be used to
  implement most advanced control constructs.

`(call-with-current-continuation `*Eproc*`)` behaves like:

```
(let ((Iproc Eproc)) ;; Assume Iproc fresh
  (label here
    (Iproc (lambda (val) (jump here val)))))
```

Example of `call-with-current-continuation`

```
(define product
  (lambda (outer-list)
    (call-with-current-continuation
      (lambda (return)
        (letrec
          ((inner (lambda (lst)
                    (cond ((null? lst) 1)
                          ((= 0 (car lst)) (return 0))
                          (else (* (car lst)
                                   (inner (cdr lst))))
                          ))))
          (inner outer-list))))))
```

Continuation Passing Style (CPS)

The constructs we have seen so far rely on *implicit* continuations. It is possible to model non-local control flow by passing *explicit* continuations in a style known as *continuation-passing style*.

For example, here is a CPS version of recursive factorial:

```
(define fact-rec-cps
  (lambda (n k) ; k is the explicit continuation
    (if (= n 0)
        (k 1)
        (fact-rec-cps (- n 1)
                      (lambda (v) (k (* n v)))))))

(fact-rec-cps 3 (lambda (v) v))

(fact-rec-cps 4 (lambda (v) (+ 1 (* 2 v))))
```

CPS version of `product`

```
(define product
  (lambda (outer-list)
    (letrec ((inner
              (lambda (lst k) ; k is the explicit cont.
                (if (null? lst)
                    (k 1)
                    (if (= (car lst) 0)
                        0 ; return 0 directly,
                          ; thus punting continuation
                        (inner (cdr lst)
                               (lambda (v)
                                 (k (* (car lst) v)))))))))
      (inner outer-list (lambda (v) v)))))
```

Exception Handling

Want to be able to "signal" exceptional situations and handle them differently in different contexts.

Many languages provide exception systems:

• Java's `throw` and `try/catch`

• ML's `raise` and `handle`

• Common Lisp's `throw` and `catch`

Raise, trap, and handle

We will study exception handling in a version of Scheme extended with the following constructs:

- `(raise T E)`
  Evaluate E to value V and raise exception with tag T and value V.

- `(trap T E_handler E_body)`
  First evaluate `E_handler` to a one-argument handler function `V_handler`. Then evaluate `E_body` to value `V_body`. If no exception is encountered, return `V_body`. If an exception is raised with tag T and value `V_val`, the call to *raise* returns with the value of `(V_handler V_val)` evaluated at the point of the `raise`.

- `(handle T E_handler E_body)`
  First evaluate `E_handler` to a one-argument handler function `V_handler`. Then evaluate `E_body` to value `V_body`. If no exception is encountered, return `V_body`. If an exception is raised with tag `T` and value `V_val`, the call to *handle* returns with the value of `(V_handler V_val)` evaluated at the point of the `handle`.

Exception Handling Examples

```
(define test
  (lambda ()
    (let ((raiser (lambda (x)
                    (if (< x 0)
                        (raise negative x)
                        (if (even? x)
                            (raise even x)
                            x)))))
      (+ (raiser 1) (+ (raiser -3) (raiser 4))))))
```

What is the value of the following, where *handler_1* and *handler_2* range over
{trap, handle}? First assume left-to-right argument evaluation, then right-to-left.

```
(handler_1 negative (lambda (v) (- v))
  (handler_2 even (lambda (v) (* v v))
    (test)))

(handler_1 even (lambda (v) (* v v))
  (handler_2 negative (lambda (v) (- v))
    (test)))
```