CS251 Jeopardy

Spring 2005

Gameboard

Data	Naming	Laziness	Xforms	Imperative	Control	Types	Potpourri
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

What data structure is commonly used in interpreters to associate names with values?

What feature in Ocaml, Java, and Scheme, is responsible for reclaiming storage used by values that are no longer accessible from the program?

How are "sum-of-product" data structures expressed in (i) OCAML and (ii) JAVA?

What is the value of the following OCAML program?

```
let yourMom = [[1;2]; [3;4;5;6;7]; [8]]
in map (foldr (fun _ x -> 1+x) 0)
    yourMom
```

Answer both of the following: (1) what problem does invoking the following C function lead to and (2) how can the problem be fixed?

```
int* nums (int n) {
  int a[n];
  for (n = n-1; n >= 0; n--) {
    a[n] = n;
  }
  return a;
}
```

List all of the free variables of the following HOFL expression:

```
(fun (a)
(a b (fun (b) (+ b c))))
```

List all of the following languages that are block structured:

- PASCAL
- C
- JAVA
- OCAML
- SCHEME

The following Common Lisp program denotes the factorial function, but a Scheme program written in the same way would not. What language property accounts for the difference in which the program is treated in the two languages?

Give the value of the following expression in both statically scoped and dynamically scoped versions of Scheme:

Give the value of the following HOILIC expression under all four parameter passing mechanisms: call-by-value, call-by-reference, call-by-name, and call-by-lazy. Assume operands are evaluated in left-to-right order.

CS251 Jeopardy Spring'05 - p.12/42

Which one of the following does not belong:

- lazy data
- call-by-value
- memoization
- call-by-need.

In his paper "Why Functional Programming Matters", John Hughes argues that laziness is important because it enhances something. What?

Below are two definitions of an if0 construct: the first defined by desugaring, the second defined as a function:

```
(1) (ifo E_{num} E_{zero})
       \sim (if (= E_{num} 0) E_{zero} E_{num})
(2) (def (if0 num zero)
       (if (= num 0) zero num)))
```

For (1) HOFL and (2) HOILIC, list all of the following parameter-passing mechanisms under which the two definitions are equivalent:

call-by-value call-by-name call-by-lazy

What are the elements of the list returned by evaluating the following HASKELL expression?

```
take 5 (scanl (+) 0 ns)
where ns = 1 : (map (2 +) ns)
```

What is the value of the following statically-scoped call-by-value Scheme expression? Assume left-to-right operand evaluation.

Extra: : What if the operand evaluation order is right-to-left?

What common program transformation have we studied that Alan Perlis once quipped could cause "cancer of the semi-colon"?

Consider the following program transformation:

$$(+ E E) => (* 2 E)$$

For each of the following programming paradigms, indicate whether the above transformation is safe - that is, it preserves the meaning of the expression for all possible expressions E.

- purely functional
- imperative
- object-oriented

Consider the following Hoilic transformation:

```
((lambda (x) 3) E) \Rightarrow 3
```

List all of the following parameter passing mechanisms for which the above transformation is safe - that is, it preserves the meaning of the expression for all possible expressions E_{\cdot}

- call-by-value
- call-by-reference
- call-by-name
- call-by-lazy

In Scheme, the special form (or E_1 E_2) first evaluates E_1 to a value V_1 . If V_1 is not false, it is returned without evaluating E_2 . If V_1 is false, the value of E_2 is returned. Bud Lojack suggests the following desugaring rule for or:

(or
$$E_1$$
 E_2) \rightsquigarrow (let ((x E_1)) (if x x E_2))

Unfortunately, this desugaring has a bug. Give a concrete expression in which Bud's desugaring fails to have the right meaning.

Give a translation of the following Fofl program into Postfix. You may use bget in your translation.

```
(fofl (a b) (f (sq a) (sq b))
 (def (sq x) (* x x))
 (def (f x y) (/ (+ x y) (- x y))))
```

List *all* of the following languages in which a variable is always bound to an implicit mutable cell.

- SCHEME
- OCAML
- JAVA
- HASKELL
- **9** C

What programming language property corresponds to the mathematical notion of "substituting equals for equals" (Pureley functional languages have it; imperative languages don't.)

What is the value of executing f(5), where f is the following C function?

```
int f (int n) {
  int ans = 1;
  while (n > 0) {
    n = n - 1;
    ans = n * ans;
  }
  return ans;
}
```

What is the value of executing g(1,2) in the context of the following C definitions?

```
void h (int x, int* y) {
   x = x + *y;
   *y = *y + x;
}
int g (int a, int b) {
   h(a, &b);
   return a * b;
}
```

What is the value of the following program in statically-scoped call-by-value Hoilie? Assume operands are evaluated from left to right. (Hint: draw environments!)

Extra: What if (+ c b) were changed to (+ c a)?

Edsgar Dijkstra considered this control construct harmful.

Which one of the following most closely resembles Pascal's goto construct?

- SCHEME'S error
- SCHEME'S call-with-current-continuation
- OCAML'S raise
- Java's break
- Java's try/catch

What is the value of the following expression in a version of SCHEME supporting raise and handle?

Extra: what if the handles are replaced by traps?

Consider the following procedure in a version of Scheme supporting label and jump:

What is the value of the expression

```
(+ (test 0) (test 5))?
```

What is the value of the following expression in a version of SCHEME supporting label and jump?

Name two "real-world" statically-typed language that do not require explicit types.

What feature is lacking in Java's type system that makes it impossible to write a general Scheme or ML style map function in Java?

What is the name of a transformation that can transform an Ocaml function of type

```
int * char -> bool
```

to a function of type

```
int -> char -> bool ?
```

Write a declaration of an OCAML function f that has the following type:

```
('a -> 'b list) -> ('b -> 'c list) -> ('a -> 'c list)
```

You may find it helpful to use the following list functions in your definition:

```
List.map: ('a -> 'b) -> ('a list) -> ('b list)
List.flatten ('a list list) -> ('a list)

Back
```

For each of the following OCAML function declarations, either write down the type that would be reconstructed for the function or indicate that no type can be reconstructed:

```
let test1 (x, f, g) = (x, f(x), g(x))

let test2 (x, f, g) = (x, f(x), g(f(x)))

let test3 (x, f, g) = (x, f(x), g(f(x)), f(g(x)))

let test4 (x, f, g) = (x, f(x), g(x, f(x)))

let test5 (x, f, g) = (x, f(x), g(f(x), f(g(x))))

let test6 (x, f, g) = (x, f(x), g(x, f(g(x))))
```

Who was the inventor of the lambda calculus, a formal system upon which functional programming is based?

Complete the following Guy Steele poem by filling in the ???:

A one slot cons is called a ???
A two-slot cons makes lists as well
And I would bet a coin of bronze
There isn't any three-slot cons.

Is it possible to write an interpreter for an imperative language in a purely functional language?

List five properties that values must have in order to be considered "first-class".

We saw how to automatically translate Fofl programs to Postfix programs. Answer both of the following:

- 1. Describe a simple approach for translating Fobs programs to Postfix.
- 2. What feature does postfix lack that makes it difficult to translate Hofl programs to Postfix?