

CS 251 Spring 2020 Principles of Programming Languages Ben Wood



Patterns Everywhere

https://cs.wellesley.edu/~cs251/s20/

Reading programs



Syntax: Backus-Naur Form (BNF) notation for grammars



Start symbol: <expr>

designates "root"

3



Derivations

 $\langle expr \rangle \rightarrow \langle num \rangle$ $\rightarrow 5$

 $\langle expr \rangle \rightarrow \langle expr \rangle + \langle expr \rangle$ $\rightarrow \langle num \rangle + \langle expr \rangle$ $\rightarrow 1 + \langle expr \rangle$ $\rightarrow 1 + \langle expr \rangle * \langle expr \rangle$ $\rightarrow 1 + \langle num \rangle * \langle expr \rangle$ $\rightarrow 1 + 2 * \langle expr \rangle$ $\rightarrow 1 + 2 * \langle num \rangle$ $\rightarrow 1 + 2 * 3$



$< expr > \rightarrow < expr > * < expr >$ **Ambiguity:** \rightarrow <expr> * <num> >1 derivation of expression \rightarrow <expr> * 3 \rightarrow <expr> + <expr> * 3 <expr> ::= <num> \rightarrow <num> + <expr> * 3 <expr> + <expr> \rightarrow 1 + <expr> * 3 <expr> * <expr> \rightarrow 1 + <num> * 3 <num> ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | ... \rightarrow 1 + 2 * 3 <expr> <expr> <expr> + <expr> <expr> * <expr> <expr> * <expr> <expr> + <expr> <num> <num> <num> <num> <num> <num> 2 3 Patterns Everywhere 5

Dealing with Ambiguity

Prohibit it.

Force parenthesization or equivalent.

Racket, S-expressions:

(there is (always an unambiguous) parse tree)

Allow it with:

Precedence by kind of expression (think order of operations)

1 + 2 * 3 means 1 + (2 * 3)

Directional *associativity* (left, right)

left-associative function application: f 2 3 means ((f 2) 3)

Representing Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs)

(or expression trees)

A tiny calculator language:

An ML expression of type exp:

Add (Constant (10+9), Negate (Constant 4)) Structure of resulting value: Add Constant Negate I I 19 Constant

Recursive functions for recursive datatypes

Find maximum constant appearing in an exp.

fun max_constant (e : exp) =

Evaluating expressions in the language

Interpreter for tiny calculator language

fun eval (e : exp) =

Datatype bindings, so far

Syntax:

datatype t = C1 of t1 | C2 of t2 | ... | Cn of tn

Type-checking:

Adds type t and constructors Ci of type ti->t to static environment

Evaluation: nothing!

Omit "of ti" for constructors that are just tags, no underlying data

- Such a Ci is a value of type t

Case expressions, so far

Syntax: case e of p1 => e1 | p2 => e2 | ... | pn => en

Type-checking:

- Type-check e. Must have same type as all of p1 ... pn.
 - Pattern C(x1,...,xn) has type t if datatype t includes a constructor: C of t1 * ... * tn
- Type-check each ei in current static environment extended with types for any variables bound by pi.
 - Pattern C(x1,...,xn) gives variables x1, ..., xn types t1,...,tn if datatype t includes a constructor: C of t1 * ... * tn
- All ei must have the same type u, which is the type of the entire case expression.

Case expressions, so far

Syntax: case e of p1 => e1 | p2 => e2 | ... | pn => en

Evaluation:

- Evaluate e to a value v
- If pi is first pattern to match v, then result is evaluation of ei in dynamic environment "extended by the match."
 - Pattern Ci(x1,...,xn) matches value
 Ci(v1,...,vn) and extends the environment by binding x1 to v1 ... xn to vn
 - For "no data" constructors, pattern Ci matches value Ci
 - Pattern x matches and binds to any value of any type.
- Exception if no pattern matches.

Patterns everywhere



Deep truths about ML and patterns.

- Every val / fun binding and anonymous fn definition uses pattern-matching.
- 2. Every function in ML takes exactly one argument

First: extend our definition of pattern-matching...

Pattern-match any compound type

Pattern matching also works for records and tuples:

- Pattern (x1,...,xn)
 matches any tuple value (v1,...,vn)
- Pattern {f1=x1, ..., fn=xn}
 matches any record value {f1=v1, ..., fn=vn}
 (and fields can be reordered)

val binding patterns

Syntax: a val binding can use any pattern p, not just a variable

Type checking:

p and e must have the same type.

Evaluation:

- 1. Evaluate e to value v.
- 2. If p matches v, then introduce the associated bindings Else raise an exception.

Style:

- Get all/some pieces out of a product/each-of type
- Often poor style to use constructor pattern in val binding.

Parameter patterns



A function parameter is a pattern.

– Match against the argument in a function call.

fun f p = e

Examples:

fun sum_triple (x, y, z) = x + y + z

fun full_name {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =
 x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z

Convergence!

Takes one int*int*int tuple, returns int that is their sum:

fun sum_triple (x, y, z) = x + y + z

Takes three int values, returns int that is their sum:

fun sum_triple (x, y, z) = x + y + z

Every ML function takes exactly one argument

"Multi-argument" functions:

- Match a tuple pattern against single argument.
- Elegant, flexible language design

Cute and useful things

fun rotate_left (x, y, z) = (y, z, x)
fun rotate_right t = rotate_left(rotate_left t)

"Zero-argument" functions:

- Match the unit pattern () against single argument.

Even more pattern-matching

fun eval e =	
case e of	
Constant i	=> i
Negate e2	=> ~ (eval e2)
Add (e1,e2)	\Rightarrow (eval e1) + (eval e2)
Multiply (e1,e2)	=> (eval e1) * (eval e2)

fun	eval	(Constant i)	=	i
	eval	(Negate <mark>e2</mark>)	=	~ (eval e2)
	eval	(Add (e1,e2))	=	(eval e1) + (eval e2)
	eval	(Multiply (e1,e2))	=	(eval e1) * (eval e2)

Critical: added parens around each pattern, replaced => with =.

- If you mix them up, you'll get some weird error messages...

Patterns are deep!

Patterns are recursively structured

- Just like expressions
- Nest as deeply as desired
- Avoid hard-to-read, wordy, nested case expressions

Examples of nested list patterns

Pattern a::b::c::d matches any list with _____ elements

Pattern a::b::c::[] matches any list with _____ elements

Pattern [a,b,c] matches any list with _____ elements

Pattern ((a,b),(c,d))::e matches any _____

List checkers (suboptimal style)

```
fun nondec (x::xs) =
      case xs of
          (y:: ) => x <= y andalso nondec xs
        | [] => true
  nondec [] = true
fun nondec [] = true
   nondec [x] = true
  nondec (x::xs) =
      let val (y::) = xs
      in
        x <= y andalso nondec xs
      end
```

List checkers (good style)

More examples: see code files

Style

Nested patterns: elegant, concise

- Avoid nested case expressions if nested patterns are simpler
 Example: checkl and friends
- Common idiom: match against a tuple of datatypes to compare all Examples: zip3 and multsign

Wildcards instead of variables when data not needed

– Examples: len and multsign

(Most of) The definition of pattern-matching

The semantics for pattern-matching takes a pattern p and a value v and decides (1) does it match and (2) if so, what variable bindings are introduced.

Definition is elegantly recursive, with a separate rule for each kind of pattern. Some of the rules:

- If p is a variable x, the match succeeds and x is bound to v
- If p is _, the match succeeds and no bindings are introduced
- If p is (p1,...,pn) and v is (v1,...,vn), the match succeeds if and only if p1 matches v1, ..., pn matches vn. The bindings are the union of all bindings from the submatches
- If p is C p1, the match succeeds if v is C v1 (i.e., the same constructor) and p1 matches v1. The bindings are the bindings from the submatch.
- ... (there are several other similar forms of patterns)



```
fun fib n =
   if n = 0 orelse n = 1 then 1
  else (fib (n - 2)) + (fib (n - 1))
fun fib n =
  case n of
       0 => 1
      | 1 => 1
      x => (fib (x - 2)) + (fib (x - 1))
fun fib 0 = 1
  fib 1 = 1
   fib n = (fib (n - 2)) + (fib (n - 1))
```

int**uition...**

Do you suppose...?

datatype int = ... | 0 | 1 | 2 | ...

(Efficiency reasons to *implement* int specially, but could be a datatype.)

```
datatype nat = Zero | Succ nat
val one = Succ Zero
fun add (Zero, x) = x
| add (x,Zero) = x
| add (Succ x, y) = Succ (add (x, y))
```



datatype bool = true false



