## CS 333: Natural Language Processing

Prof. Carolyn Anderson Wellesley College

## Fall 2023

Neural Language Models

Neural Net Classification with embeddings as input features!



# Issue: texts come in different sizes

This assumes a fixed size length (3)!



embedding for

word 23864

dessert

 $W_2$ 

00 •• 0 •• 00

embedding for

word 7

is

W<sub>3</sub>

•• 🔴 •• 🔴 🔴

embedding for

word 534

The

 $W_1$ 

- 1. Make the input the length of the longest review
  - If shorter then pad with zero embeddings
  - Truncate if you get longer reviews at test time
- 2. Create a single "sentence embedding" (the same dimensionality as a word) to represent all the words
  - Take the mean of all the word embeddings
  - Take the element-wise max of all the word embeddings
    - For each dimension, pick the max value from all words

Training a Fixed-Length Neural Language Model



Key Question: what are the parameters?



Feedforward Equations

r

$$z = f(W_2 \cdot [c_1; c_2; c_3])$$
  

$$z = concatenation$$
  

$$f = non-inuar$$
  

$$= softmax(W_2 \cdot h)$$
  

$$j = concatenation$$
  

$$f = non-inuar$$
  

$$activation$$



Our Friend, Gradient Descent Define a loss forution L(O) to minimize Desiderata: - measure LA performance - smooth - differentiable

Longuege modeling or a classification fast: Nocetulary is the set of classification

#### Our Friend, Gradient Descent

Our Friend, Gradient Descent  
3) Given the gradient 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Theta}$$
, take a  
step in the direction of the negative gradient.  
This minimizes L.  
 $\Theta_{new} = \Theta_{old} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Theta}$   
 $T \quad \overline{I} \quad T \quad gradient$   
unique parames rate



Hyperparameters

Betch size (t) How often du me polote weights? · Could yrdate after earn X - Gradrent is poorly estimated · Could wait to see all example. - Slaw but good estimate Compromise : miniberonny



Single Neuron Example



Single Neuron Example

Step Z: Compte the grochent <u>el</u> dwz L= z' (y-0)2 Parzmeter 1: 0 = fanh(a)- <u>Jo</u>. Jo 91  $a = w_2 h$ dw2 do de Qw2 h = tanh(b)1 1 4 b = wy x  $-(y-0)(1-o^2)h$ Otanhla) = (-fanh2(x) Perivative of tanh:

Single Neuron Example  
Paramuter 2: 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$
  
 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial o}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$   
 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial o}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$   
 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial o}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$   
 $\frac{d L}{d w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial o}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$   
 $\frac{d L}{d w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial o}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$   
 $\frac{d L}{d w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$   
 $\frac{d L}{d w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \frac{\partial a}{\partial a} \frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w_1}$ 

Single Neuron Example

3) Update the parameters  

$$W_{2}_{ren} = W_{2}_{old} - 2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{2}}$$
  
 $W_{2}_{ren} = W_{2}_{old} - 2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{2}}$ 

Why Neural LMs work better than N-gram LMs

Training data:

We've seen: I have to make sure that the cat gets fed.

Never seen: dog gets fed

Test data:

I forgot to make sure that the dog gets \_\_\_\_

N-gram LM can't predict "fed"!

Neural LM can use similarity of "cat" and "dog" embeddings to generalize and predict "fed" after dog

# how does this compare to a normal n-gram model?

**Improvements** over *n*-gram LM:

- No sparsity problem
- Model size is O(n) not O(exp(n))

#### Remaining **problems**:

- Fixed window is too small
- Enlarging window enlarges W
- Window can never be large enough!
- Each C<sub>i</sub> uses different rows of W. We don't share weights across the window.



 $\dots, x^{(2)}, x^{(2)}$ 

# How Do We Train Neural Networks?

## **Recurrent Neural Networks!**



hidden states

$$h^{(t)} = f(W_h h^{(t-1)} + W_e c_t)$$

h<sup>(0)</sup> is initial hidden state!

word embeddings

• - - •

. .

 $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ 



 $oldsymbol{h}^{(0)}$ 

hidden states

$$h^{(t)} = f(W_h h^{(t-1)} + W_e c_t)$$

h<sup>(0)</sup> is initial hidden state!

word embeddings

. . . .

. .

 $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ 



hidden states

$$h^{(t)} = f(W_h h^{(t-1)} + W_e c_t)$$

h<sup>(0)</sup> is initial hidden state!

word embeddings

. .

 $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ 

. . . .



hidden states

$$h^{(t)} = f(W_h h^{(t-1)} + W_e c_t)$$

h<sup>(0)</sup> is initial hidden state!

word embeddings

. .

 $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ 

• - - •



hidden states

$$h^{(t)} = f(W_h h^{(t-1)} + W_e c_t)$$

h<sup>(0)</sup> is initial hidden state!

word embeddings

 $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ 

. \_ \_ .



 $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}^{(4)} = P(\boldsymbol{x}^{(5)}|\text{the students opened their})$ 

books

## A RNN Language Model

output distribution

 $\hat{y} = \operatorname{softmax}(W_2 h^{(t)})$ 

hidden states

$$h^{(t)} = f(W_h h^{(t-1)} + W_e c_t)$$

h<sup>(0)</sup> is initial hidden state!

word embeddings

 $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ 

. \_ \_ .



#### why is this good?

#### RNN Advantages:

 Can process any length input

|V|

- Model size doesn't increase for longer input
- Computation for step t can (in theory) use information from many steps back
- Weights are shared across timesteps → representations are shared

#### RNN Disadvantages:

- Recurrent computation is slow
- In practice, difficult to access information from
- \_\_\_many steps back

